

Montana Department of Environmental Quality
Permitting and Compliance Division
Industrial and Energy Minerals Bureau
Coal and Uranium Program
Helena, Montana 59620

Vegetation Guidelines

Revised 2000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page 1	Introduction
Page 2	Sampling Methods
Page 3	I. Range Site & Vegetation Community Descriptions
Page 4	II. Annual Production
Page 6	III. Cover
Page 7	IV. Diversity
Page 7	V. Density
Page 8	VI. Utility
Page 8	Reference Areas and Technical Standards [ARM 17.24.724]
Page 8	I. Reference Areas
Page 10	II. Technical Standards
Page 12	Period of Responsibility and Normal Husbandry Practices
Page 12	1. Interseeding and Supplemental Planting of Tree and Shrub Seedlings
Page 13	2. Mechanical Practices
Page 13	3. Supplemental Mulching
Page 14	4. Use of Prescribed Burning
Page 14	5. Pest Control, Including weeds, Vertebrate and Invertebrate Animals, Fungi and Diseases
Page 14	6. Grazing
Page 15	7. Erosion and Settling Repair
Page 15	8. Subsidence Repair
Page 16	9. Ancillary Disturbance and Reclamation
Page 16	10. Development and Maintenance of Water Resources
Page 16	11. Agricultural and Landscaping Activities
Page 18	Livestock Grazing
Page 19	Phase III Bond Release Evaluations
Page 19	I. Hypothesis Testing for Production, Cover, and Density
Page 23	II. Evaluations not Requiring Hypothesis Testing
Page 24	A. Diversity
Page 26	B. Utility
Page 27	C. Season of Use
Page 27	D. The 80/60 Rule
Page 27	E. Predominantly Native Composition
Page 29	References Cited

Page A1	Appendix A
	Statistical Formulas, Examples, and References
Page A3	1. Sample Adequacy
Page A5	2. Homogeneity of Variances
	3. One-Sample, One-Sided t Test
Page A7	4. One-Sided t Test for Two Independent Samples
Page A8	5. One-Sample, One-Sided Sign Test
Page A13	6. Mann-Witney Test
Page A14	7. Satterthwaite Correction
	8. Data Transformation
Pages A17-A21	Tables A1 - A4
Pages B1-B6	Appendix B
	Vegetation and Land Use Rules
Pages C1-C14	Appendix C
	Montana Range Plants

COAL PROGRAM VEGETATION GUIDELINES

Montana Department of Environmental Quality
Industrial and Energy Minerals Bureau

Introduction

The Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) at 17.24.726(1) require the Department to supply guidelines which describe acceptable field and laboratory methods to be used when collecting and analyzing vegetation production, cover, diversity, density, and utility data. The following information addresses this requirement. Additional guidelines are provided regarding the selection and use of reference areas and technical standards, approved normal husbandry practices, and livestock grazing. Appendix A provides formulas, examples, references, and tables for use in sample adequacy and bond release evaluations. Appendix B is a listing of vegetation and land use rules that should be reviewed and (if relevant) addressed whenever applications are submitted to the Department. Appendix C is a copy of *Montana Range Plants*, by Dr. Carl Wambolt, which was published in 1981 as Montana State University Cooperative Extension Service Bulletin 355, and is reproduced here by permission of the Extension Service. The bulletin characterizes the longevity, origin, season of growth, and response to cattle grazing of most Montana range plants, and is suggested as a classification standard for vegetation inventories.

Please read these guidelines carefully and completely prior to initiating any vegetation inventories or analyses. A preliminary meeting and site reconnaissance with Department staff is strongly recommended, as is the submittal of a plan of study to ensure that all relevant rules will be efficiently addressed.

The Department has sought to ensure that each of the methods recommended and approved in these guidelines is technically sound and unambiguous. Methods other than those presented here certainly exist and may be acceptable. The use of procedures or practices that are not included in these guidelines, however, requires prior approval of both the Department and the Office of Surface Mining (30 CFR 732.17 and 816.116). Naturally, alternative methods that are contained in active mining permits have already received state and federal approval.

Sampling Methods [ARM 17.24.304, 726, and 733]

The field and laboratory methods described below are approved for use during vegetation baseline and reference area inventories, phase III (and, if necessary, phase IV) bond release evaluations, and revegetation monitoring. Sample adequacy must be attained for total production, total live cover, and woody-taxa density estimates of each plant community during all inventories and all bond release evaluations (see the Sample Adequacy discussion in Appendix A). Appropriate sample sizes for revegetation monitoring and other specialized monitoring (e.g., status of threatened and endangered species) will be determined on a case-by-case basis, depending on the specific purposes of each monitoring program and the vegetation attributes being monitored. All technical data submitted shall include the name and affiliation of the principal investigator, the dates of data collection, a description of the methods used, and listings of all references used and consultations conducted during the study. Submittal of raw vegetation data in an electronic spreadsheet format and map data in a digital format is highly recommended to facilitate timely review and processing of submittals. Consult with the Department concerning software compatibility.

The Department recognizes that each sampling method has inherent strengths and weaknesses. The Department strongly encourages all companies select methods that are best suited for meeting defined monitoring goals, while taking advantage of the methods strengths and minimizing the affects of the weaknesses. To help insure that valid methods are used and appropriately applied and that the data collected for the various analyses are reliable, applicants and permittees must submit a QA/QC plan for review and approval by the Department prior to initiation of vegetation monitoring.

Upon implementation of specific vegetation monitoring methods, the Department strongly encourages the operators to maintain, to the extent possible, the same investigators for the duration of the project (not only annually, but year to year). Due to the importance of this issue in providing sampling consistency etc., the issue must be addressed in the QA/QC plan. The data from the reclaimed areas and the reference areas must be collected during the same time period to ensure that vegetative growth is similar in the two areas. To provide for better data comparison, data should be collected during the same vegetative growth period each year. This consistency should reduce sampling variability and increase data quality. The Department will make regular field inspections during the sampling process to assess the field application of the sampling method and the quality of the data being collected. Changes to the sampling methods may be recommended or required based on the results of the field review.

I. Range Site and Vegetation Community Descriptions

A range site map for the permit area at a scale of 1": 400' shall be prepared on a premine topography base. The range site map shall be based upon USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS, formerly SCS) soil survey data, and any additional permit-area soil survey work required by the Department. Mapped polygons shall identify the soil groups and extant range conditions, consistent with NRCS guidelines (except that percent relative cover may be used as a measure of species' importance, in lieu of percent air-dry weight). Be sure to cite which version of the NRCS guidelines is used, and use that version consistently. It is recommended that mapped premine land use information [required by ARM 17.24.304(12)(b)] be included on the range site map.

A vegetation community map for the permit area, and if proposed, any outlying reference areas, shall be prepared at a scale of 1":400' on a premine topography base. Based on a review of the range and soil maps, aerial photographs, USGS orthophoto quads, and a reconnaissance of the permit area, preliminary physiognomic type and/or community polygons shall be delineated. A stratified random sampling scheme based on the preliminary polygons shall be designed for the collection of production, cover, diversity, and density data. Refinements to community boundaries and designations, and consequent adjustments to the sampling scheme, will undoubtedly be necessary as sampling progresses. A gridded overlay and random numbers table carried in the field may facilitate placement of additional sampling locations in an unbiased manner. Unless otherwise approved by the Department, communities shall be designated by the 2 dominant species having the greatest functional influence on the community. Permit-area and disturbance-area boundaries shall be delineated on the vegetation map, as well as reference area locations and boundaries. All sample locations shall be indicated on the vegetation map. All discovered locations of any listed or proposed threatened or endangered plant species shall be identified on the vegetation community map.

A narrative description of each vegetation community shall be submitted, listing associated species and discussing the environmental factors controlling or limiting the distribution of species. Current condition and trend shall be described for each community and any significant variants of a community. Individual plot or transect data (either as spreadsheets or field sheets) shall be submitted, as well as summary tables. The following information and site attributes shall be reported for each sample location, as well as for sites which provide habitat for listed or proposed threatened or endangered plant species: date, personnel, aspect, percent slope, topography (ridge, upper slope, midslope, bench, lower slope, toeslope, swale, bottom), configuration (convex, concave, straight, undulating), and a brief description of the substrate. Record incidental vegetation species which are observed adjacent to sample locations or while traveling between locations. A table of the permit-area and disturbance-area acreage of each vegetation community shall be submitted.

Applicants shall submit a list of the scientific names of all vascular plant species observed in each vegetation community (baseline inventories) and revegetation/physiognomic type (bond release evaluations).

II. Annual Production

Production samples must be segregated by native and introduced: annual grasses, perennial cool-season grasses, perennial warm-season grasses, annual forbs, biennial forbs, perennial forbs, shrubs and half-shrubs. Segregation by species is not required, although segregating at least a subsample of the quadrats by species would facilitate an accurate determination of range condition during baseline and reference area sampling, and is advised. Production sampling shall be conducted as near to mid-July as possible, to accurately estimate peak standing crop in our area.

The clipping of vegetation within 0.5 m² quadrats has become the standard method of estimating herbaceous production on Montana coal mines, although the use of quadrats ranging in size from 0.1 m² (in very dense grasslands) to 1.0 m² (in sparsely vegetated sites) may be acceptable, in consultation with the Department. If livestock grazing is anticipated prior to sampling, production sample sites may need to be located and adequately protected (caged) before grazing begins. Live herbaceous vegetation shall be clipped to ground (or caudex/root crown) level, bagged, and dried to constant weight. Either air-drying or oven-drying may be used, but the drying method must be specified and applied consistently to all samples (oven-dried weights often average 10% less than air-dried weights). Sample weights shall be reported as grams/0.5 m² or grams/m², and class productivity as kilograms/hectare or pounds/acre.

At least one double-sampling method (DCC 1976), and one nondestructive method (PCC 1985) based on area/mass relationships (Weaver 1977) have been approved for estimating shrub production on Montana coal mines. Shafer's (1963) twig-count method may also be used when the density of woody taxa is high. Approximately 10 samples of annual growth (twigs, leaves and flowers) for each encountered shrub or half shrub species are clipped, bagged, and later weighed to obtain an average annual production weight per twig for each species contained within the vertically-projected boundaries of the production quadrat. The total number of annual growth twigs are counted in the field, and an estimate of total annual production is calculated by multiplying the average annual growth weight by the total number of twigs for each species. Shafer (1963) showed that this method was as accurate as, and nearly five times faster than, clipping and weighing all of the twigs in a plot. If woody taxa density

is not excessive, however, annual shrub and half shrub production within a quadrat should be clipped and weighed in its entirety.

ARM 17.24.301(61)(d) defines commercial forest land as acreage which produces or can be managed to produce in excess of 20 cubic feet per acre per year of industrial wood. ARM 17.24.304(12)(b)(ii) requires an analysis of the average yield of wood products from such lands. Thus, an estimate of timber production must be made for forested acreage that is proposed for disturbance. In eastern Montana, ponderosa pine savannahs (i.e., grasslands with scattered trees, but less than 25% tree canopy coverage) are not expected to yield wood products in excess of 20 ft³/ac/yr (Pfister et al. 1977, B. Dillon, DNRC forester--pers. comm.). Therefore, annual wood production need only be calculated for ponderosa pine-dominated communities having 25% or greater pine canopy coverage. Yield capability data from similar sites may be cited if available from the USDA Forest Service or the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation. If such data are not available, the following procedure may be used to estimate wood product annual production and tree density.

Estimate basal area (square feet of wood) per acre from a minimum of 3 randomly located sample points for each pine-dominated community up to 10 acres in size; add an additional sample point for each additional 10 acres of that community, or portion thereof. A Relaskop, angle-gauge, or prism may be used to determine sample trees by the Bitterlich variable-radius method (Chambers and Brown 1983). Select a basal area factor (BAF) and corresponding sighting angle that will result in 5-15 trees being sampled at each sample point (a BAF of 10 is generally appropriate for eastern Montana ponderosa pine stands). The diameter at breast height (DBH), age, and height of the sample trees are measured, and the trees are assigned to 4" DBH size classes (e.g., 0-4", 4-8", 8-12", 12-16", 16-20", and 20"+). Tree heights may be measured by reading the T scale of the Relaskop at a distance of 66 feet from the tree or by reading the tangent of angles from the percent scale of instruments like the Abney level or Sunnto level. Tree ages shall be measured by counting annual rings of incremental cores. Age need only be measured for one tree (the first encountered) in each DBH size class at each sampling location. Add 10 years to the ring count if boring at breast height, to account for seedling growth to that height (B. Dillon--pers. comm.) or bore as near to the ground as possible. Age may be estimated by a whorl count on smaller trees.

If a density estimate is being made for all trees, the basal area of junipers and deciduous trees may be calculated in a similar manner, grouping the trees into 4" DBH size classes by species. Heights and ages are not required for non-timber species.

For each DBH size class, calculate

1. mean basal area/tree = $0.005454 (\text{mean DBH}^2)$
2. mean basal area/acre = total number of trees sampled/number of sample points x BAF
3. number of trees/acre = $\frac{\text{mean basal area/acre}}{\text{mean basal area/tree}}$
4. volume/acre/year = mean basal area/acre x mean tree height/mean tree age

(DBHs are in inches, heights are in ft., basal areas are in square ft., and volumes are in cubic ft.)

Sum the volume/acre/year estimates from each of the DBH size classes and reduce the sum by 25% to account for yield losses due to log taper, bark, and defects (B. Dillon--pers. comm.), thus obtaining the final estimate of the yield capability (annual production) for each ponderosa pine-dominated community. For each tree species, sum the number of trees/acre for each size class to estimate density.

III. Cover

Percent cover for bare ground, rock, litter, lichens, moss, and each vascular plant species shall be recorded. Cover subtotals shall be calculated for each native and introduced morphological class, and total live vegetation cover shall be reported. Relative cover of vascular plant species shall also be calculated and reported. Frequency and constancy of species' occurrence may be reported in summary tables, but are not required.

Cover measurements may be made by point intercept, line intercept, line point, or ocular estimation. No matter which method is selected, special care must be taken to obtain an accurate estimate for species with relative cover near 1%. As discussed later in these guidelines under Phase III Bond Release Evaluations, postmine diversity standards shall be set in proportion to the number of premine or reference area species contributing at least 1% relative cover.

The **point intercept method**, as originally conceived by Levy and Madden (1933), involves dropping a series of pointed pins (usually 10) through a frame and recording the nature of the cover touched by each pin. More recently, the method has been modified to include the use of cross-hairs within low-magnification sighting tubes, and laser light beams, rather than pins, to indicate sampling points along a transect. Each randomly located frame or transect constitutes one sampling unit.

The **line intercept method** (Canfield 1941) is conducted by laying out a measuring tape along a randomly-selected bearing and summing the lengths

intercepted by each species' canopy. Considerable overlap of species cover occurs when the line intercept method is used on moderately- to densely-vegetated stands. Under such field conditions the method can be quite time-consuming, and in consequence it has only rarely been used on Montana coal mines. The line intercept method is most efficient as a means of estimating either shrub or low, sparse herbaceous cover. Each randomly located transect represents one sampling unit.

The **line point method** (Heady et al. 1959) is a sort of hybrid of the point intercept and line intercept methods. It is implemented by laying out a measuring tape along a randomly selected bearing and recording the nature of the cover at several (usually 100) points along the tape. Each randomly located transect represents one sample unit.

If Daubenmire's (1959) **ocular estimation method** is used, the procedure should be modified so that absolute cover is estimated to the nearest percent. However, if the use of Daubenmire's (or smaller) coverage classes has previously been approved, such use may be continued for the sake of consistency. Acceptable quadrat sizes are not fixed and will vary depending on the vegetation characteristics and the experience of the investigators; sample quadrats ranging in size from 0.1 to 0.5m² (and sometimes larger) have been approved for use on Montana coal mines. Each randomly located quadrat represents one sampling unit.

IV. Diversity

See the Diversity discussion in the Phase III Bond Release section, page 24.

V. Density

When comparing the stocking rates of revegetated areas with reference areas or historic record technical standards, only living, healthy plants may be counted. Countable trees, shrubs and half-shrubs on revegetation must be at least 2 years old.

Shrub and half-shrub densities have been measured on Montana coal mines by direct counts within rectangular or circular plots or belt transects, and in a few cases where the inventory areas were small or woody taxa had low densities, by total counts. Plot or belt transect dimensions are not fixed and may be selected in accordance with site and vegetation characteristics; plots and belt transects ranging in size from 10m² to 100m² have been approved for use. The requirement to count only the tallest of multiple stems for shrubs established on reclamation has been rescinded. The total number of stems per quadrat and a calculated estimate of the number of stems per acre for each woody species shall be reported.

Tree densities may be estimated by counts within 0.1-acre circular plots (radius = 11.35m or 37.24ft), or by the Bitterlich variable-radius method previously described for

estimating timber production. Tree density in savannah communities may also be measured by counts from aerial photographs. Lindsey et al. (1958) assessed the efficiency of various plot-based and plotless sampling techniques for measuring both density and basal area in forests. They took into account the time required for sampling sufficient units to attain a standard error of 15% of the mean, as well as the time spent moving between sampling sites. It was concluded that the Bitterlich variable-radius method was most efficient if basal area was important, and that a 0.1-acre circular plot was the most efficient method if only density data were required.

VI. Utility

A map and supporting narrative description of the premine condition, capability, and productivity within the proposed permit area are required. If the premine land use was changed within 5 years of the anticipated date of commencement of mining operations, then the historic land use shall also be described. Land use capability must be analyzed in conjunction with the baseline climate, topography, geology, hydrology, soils, and vegetation information. The productivity of the proposed permit area shall be described in terms of the average yield of food, fiber, forage, or wood products obtained from such lands under high levels of management. Productivity may be determined by site-specific yield data or estimates for similar sites based on data from federal or state agencies, or state universities.

Average weight gain per day or average gain per acre data are excellent integrated measurements of livestock production capability in response to the quantity and quality of both forage and water. If livestock production is a premine land use, average gain data should be obtained.

Reference Areas and Technical Standards [ARM 17.24.724]

I. Reference Areas

Reference areas must be established for each native plant community or group of similar native plant communities that will be disturbed by mining. Coal mine revegetation is generally aimed at re-creation of defined physiognomic types, such as upland grassland, lowland grassland, conifer zones, and wetlands. Within these types, locations are designated for the establishment of shrubs and trees. To provide a reasonable measure of revegetation success, reference areas must include enough variation in slope, slope position, aspect and edaphic conditions to adequately represent the undisturbed condition of the physiognomic types and their included shrublands.

Random samples drawn from a small reference area comprising only a single plant community and with limited topo-edaphic variability (e.g., a needle-and-thread/blue grama community at the base of a south aspect slope, on sandy loam soils) would not adequately represent the range of variability of an upland grassland physiognomic type.

Even the sampling of 3 or 4 other similar site- and community-specific areas may not adequately represent the population of interest, which is the physiognomic type as a whole. Spatial auto-correlation of samples and the introduction of bias resulting from the proximity of previous sampling locations are additional concerns/complications when small reference sites are used. Conversely, random samples drawn from an extended reference area that includes the major upland grass communities on a representative range of topo-edaphic sites would fairly represent the undisturbed condition of the population of interest.

Extended reference areas are far more resilient to disturbance than community-specific reference sites. Small shrubland reference areas have been hit by lightning and burned, have experienced significant shrub die-offs, and have had to be relocated because of mine plan changes. One such site was narrowly missed by third-party road construction outside of a permit area. Expenses associated with obtaining approval for new reference areas can be reduced or eliminated through the use of extended reference areas.

Establishment of reference areas beyond the limits of the operator's control should be avoided. Allowing a third party the power to affect the ability to obtain bond release is difficult to justify. Reference areas must be managed such that they are in at least "good" range condition, as defined by the NRCS. This requirement reinforces the argument for operator control of such sites, as well as the argument for the resiliency of extended reference areas, for which the influence of localized poor- or fair-condition areas is reduced in comparison with a smaller reference site.

Reference areas and revegetated areas must be grazed at an approved level during at least 2 of the last 5 years of responsibility for revegetation establishment.

Grazing must be conducted in a manner and at a time that does not interfere with the acquisition of production, cover and diversity data. Because of their increased size and utility, extended reference areas are much more serviceable than small sites as grazing units. Ranchers are not enthusiastic about the time and effort required to

move cattle and provide water on areas that are too small and scattered to be considered logical grazing units.

Community-specific reference areas in fair condition have been approved in the past, with the hope that range condition would improve under a regimen of reduced or no grazing pressure. Where rangeland sites have been effectively isolated and left ungrazed for a decade or more, range condition may indeed improve (e.g., McLean and Tisdale 1972). On the other hand, when reference area management is left to the discretion of adjoining ranchers, an improvement in range condition is unlikely.

The use of extended reference areas is recommended whenever mining operations are of sufficient size to disturb more than a few native plant communities and topo-edaphic sites. Random samples drawn from appropriate vegetation types within extended reference areas minimize the potential for biased bond release comparisons, and maximize the operator's ability to maintain or improve the quality of the reference population.

II. Technical Standards

There are several levels of technical standards applicable on Montana coal mines. All reclaimed lands must achieve certain technical standards that are prescribed by regulations (e.g., at least 51% native composition, and the 80/60 woody-taxa establishment rule). Other standards have been negotiated based on site-specific considerations at each operation. One coal operator has obtained approval of historical record technical standards for revegetation success based on monitoring of climate and native vegetation on a control area over a 14-year period.

Historical record technical standards must be based on data obtained from the premine area or from an approved area which has been demonstrated to be comparable to the premine area in terms of cover, production, diversity, density, and utility, as well as management, topo-edaphic characteristics, and climate. Most historical technical standards have been proposed and approved either for woody-taxa density, or in response to planned disturbance of communities for which no similar sites were available within or near the permit area, or for croplands.

If shrub and tree density standards less than the premine densities are proposed, operators must document how stocking at the proposed rate will better achieve the postmine land use. The quantity, quality, and placement of substrates which have the potential to favor the establishment and permanence of shrubs and trees, rather than grasses, are important factors when evaluating stocking rates. Other important considerations are whether the woody revegetation taxa are clonal or

individual life forms, and whether equivalent densities for multi-age premine stands and single-age postmine stands are either beneficial or desirable.

In cases where locally uncommon sites are to be disturbed, buffer zones must be established and the data which will form the basis of the technical standard must be collected during the first permit term.

Cropland technical standards are generally based on average premine production records, or average local yields for crops as recorded by the NRCS, and may be "backed up" by adjacent cropland reference areas. The nature of the populations represented by NRCS historical cropland production needs to be considered. County-wide yields may be biased by the presence of especially productive croplands on alluvial valley floors, or conversely, NRCS data may contain many records for marginal lands which should never have been put into production. The most unbiased technical standard would be based only on the production of local sites with similar soils, topography, and management.

Variations in cover and production are correlated to seasonal precipitation and temperatures. Technical standards must be based on data that encompass the range of climate typical of the premine area, or only on data which were generated during climatic conditions comparable to conditions existing when the revegetation is sampled. When historical records are the basis of technical standards, cover and production shall be compared to seasonal precipitation. The precipitation regime that has the highest correlation to each parameter shall be used to derive a predictive regression equation for evaluating revegetation success.

It has been argued that historical record technical standards, as opposed to reference area/reclamation comparisons, may significantly reduce the cost of sampling, since only the revegetation needs to be measured during a bond release evaluation. However, the need to account for the effect of climatic variability on cover and production means that several years of data collection will be required before a standard can be approved. The development of technical standards is probably more reasonable and cost-effective for diversity and woody-taxa density. Diversity and density may not be as responsive to annual weather fluctuations as cover and production, but they may change significantly over the life of a mining operation. Technical standards for diversity and density would provide a constant target.

In summary, the Department recommends the establishment of extended native reference areas for cover and production comparisons. Technical standards are good choices for evaluation of species and morphological class diversity and woody-plant density. The establishment of small, community-specific reference areas in response to ARM 17.24.724 is discouraged.

Period of Responsibility and Normal Husbandry Practices [ARM 17.24.725]

The process of establishing, maintaining and/or enhancing stands of vegetation and related land uses often requires periodic use of "normal husbandry practices". The use of normal husbandry practices, as detailed in the following discussion and approved in the Surface Mining Permit, will not affect the 10-year period of responsibility for reestablishing vegetation that begins after the last seeding, planting, fertilizing, irrigating, or other activity related to final reclamation. The Montana State Office of the USDA-NRCS approved Standard Conservation Practices that can be incorporated as part of a normal land management operation. The numbers parenthetically cited below reference each approved husbandry practice to one or more of the Standard Conservation Practices. The following list of normal husbandry practices meet the criteria established in ARM 17.24.725. Additionally, approved normal husbandry practices involving the control of sedimentation and erosion are hereby referenced to the counterpart Best Management Practices as described and approved for use on state-wide construction, mining, logging, agricultural, small development, and homeowner projects in the Montana Sediment and Erosion Control Manual (1996), published by the Department's MPDES Storm Water Program.

1. Interseeding and supplemental planting of tree and shrub seedlings (322, 322A, 322B, 322C, 322D, 322E, 342, 380, 550, 612, 644, 645)

Interseeding is done to enhance revegetation, rather than to augment the initial seeding. Interseeding is a secondary seeding into established revegetation in order to improve composition, diversity and/or seasonality. In contrast, augmented seeding is reseeding due to inadequate germination of the original seeding or the lack of permanence within the vegetative stand. Augmented seedings can be done in conjunction with fertilization and/or irrigation. One of the main purposes of interseeding is to take advantage of favorable climatic conditions and to enhance germination and establishment of reclamation species requiring extended periods of stratification or other special environmental conditions. Often, important grass and shrub species contained in an original seed mix fail to emerge, as more aggressive species attain dominance. Inter-specific plant competition affects plant numbers, productivity and species present, thereby presenting the need for interseeding to obtain the desired balance within the vegetative community. Thus, another goal in interseeding rangelands is to improve or alter the compositional balance between forage species and shrubs, and between warm and cool season grasses.

The Department will approve interseeding of individual native species and approved introduced species contained in the original seed mix no later than six (6) years prior to Phase III bond release for grazing land, fish and wildlife habitat, or special use pasture. These plantings will be counted in determinations of revegetation success and suitability for the post-mining land use. Interseedings and plantings of native herbaceous, shrub, and tree species not contained in the original seed mix will also be allowed by the Department up to six (6) years prior to Phase III bond release. Augmented seeding or seeding of introduced and non-native species other than those approved by the Department will not be approved as a normal husbandry practice. All

approved interseedings and plantings will be counted towards the revegetation success and demonstration of suitability for the post-mine land use. Consistent with the reseeding and replanting of shrubs and trees under the 80/60 rule [ARM 17.24.733(3)], no reclaimed acreage limit applies to interseeding.

To promote and enhance establishment of wildlife habitats, increase diversity, and improve age-class structure in monotypic stands of trees and shrubs, the Department will approve transplanting native trees and shrub stock and the planting of containerized or bare-root tree and shrub stock on reclamation units. Containerized tree and shrub stocks planted 6 years prior to Phase III bond release will be counted toward the shrub density standard in accordance with the 80/60 rule [ARM 17.24.733(3)]. All living transplants moved from pre-existing native stands of trees and shrubs may be applied at any time towards revegetation success and demonstration of suitability for the post-mine land use.

In all cases, damage to established or emergent vegetation should be avoided. Methods for interseeding both herbaceous and woody species are not limited to hand planting, broadcast, range drill or interseeder applications and operators are encouraged to modify seeding equipment to optimize planting and reduce soil compaction or damage to existing vegetation. Use of livestock for trampling seed and mulch into the soil is also encouraged as an approved husbandry practice.

2. Mechanical Practices (511, 548, 595, 645)

Selective cutting, mowing and raking to control weeds, reduce standing dead vegetation or litter, increase decomposition of organic matter, and stimulate vegetative regrowth are approved husbandry practices. These practices are applicable to all post-mine land uses, at any time during the liability period. No reclaimed acreage limit applies.

3. Supplemental Mulching (484)

Mulching of interseeded areas may be required if little of the original mulch application remains, there is limited organic matter in the root zone material, or potential for accelerated erosion exists. This practice is applicable to grazing lands, wildlife habitat, forest lands, croplands, and special use pastures. Supplemental mulching must be completed at least six (6) years prior to Phase III bond release. No reclaimed acreage applies.

4. Use of Prescribed Burning (338)

Controlled burning may be used to reduce persistent and common weeds, undesirable vegetation, litter buildup, and/or weed seed load on reclaimed lands. Prescribed fire may also be used to reduce vegetative competition and stimulate growth of desired species. This practice is applicable to all post-mining land uses at any time during the liability period. No reclaimed acreage limit applies.

5. Pest control, including weeds, vertebrate and invertebrate animals, fungi and diseases (595A)

Prior to implementing control of weeds and other pests, a general plan must be present in the current mine permit. The respective county weed board must also approve a comprehensive noxious weed control plan, which in turn is included in the Surface Mine Permit. Selection of herbicides and mechanical control techniques represents a compromise between affecting the graminoid and woody or broad-leaved species in reclamation units and controlling invasive and damaging organisms. Application of herbicides to control weeds may be necessary in some cases where steep slopes and rugged terrain prohibit access for mechanical control, fencing for managed grazing, or the use of fire. All herbicide applications, however, must be timed to avoid damage to shrub seedlings and grass seedlings in stages of growth prior to the fourth leaf stage. Both spraying (by hand or from a vehicle), and rope wicking may be used as application techniques. Use of fire or controlled grazing are generally encouraged for the control of cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) and annual forbs such as Russian thistle (*Salsola kali*) or kochia (*Kochia scoparia*), because most shrub species will recover from a light fire and/or grazing. Herbicide use, however, may be necessary, when dealing with persistent, deeply rooted perennial species such as the knapweeds (*Centaurea* spp.), Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*) or leafy spurge (*Euphorbia esula*). These species typically do not respond to mechanical control or burning. Treatment of species such as salt cedar (*Tamarix ramosissima*) will require extreme caution to prevent herbicide and herbicide residues from entering surface waters or the groundwater. Operators proposing to use restricted use chemicals must ensure that these chemicals are applied by certified applicators. This practice is applicable to all post-mine land uses and at any time during the liability period. No reclaimed acreage limit applies.

6. Grazing (528)

Livestock grazing is a standard land use and is a management tool that can be successfully used to increase plant diversity and production, as well as improve the overall health of a particular vegetative stand. On the Montana coal lands, grazing is primarily limited to cattle; however, grazing by sheep, goats or horses should also be considered when specific vegetation objectives are desired. The Department may approve grazing to remove dead materials, harvest production, and stimulate vegetative growth as a husbandry practice based on the following requirements:

- a. A Department approved grazing plan, in accordance with ARM 17.24.719, is incorporated into the SMP. The plan must outline the overall vegetative management objectives, season of use and stocking rates.
- b. Pre- and post-grazing evaluation of vegetative production, cover, carrying capacity, and utilization must be completed by the mine operator. Methods used should be consistent with, or be more rigorous than those used by regional DNRC, USDI-NRCS, USDI-BLM, or similar land management specialists.

This practice is applicable to grazing lands, special use pastures, fish and wildlife habitats and croplands. Grazing may be conducted at any time during the liability period after the revegetation has become sufficiently established to withstand grazing, as determined by Department in consultation with the permittee and the landowner or in concurrence with the government agency having jurisdiction over the surface (ARM 17.24.719).

7. Erosion and settling repair (322, 342, 382, 412, 466, 550, 561, 580)

Repair of rills, gullies, headcuts or similar erosional features is sometimes necessary. Settling of reclaimed spoils creates depressions, sink holes and linear features. Additionally, settling along pipelines, underground utilities, etc. often results in undesirable features. Features to be repaired must be characteristic of unmined lands in the region and the damage must not be caused by a lack of planning, design, or implementation of the mining and reclamation plan. When deciding whether a particular erosion feature should be repaired the operator should consult the Department's Guidelines on Erosional Features. Repairs considered to be normal husbandry practices include hand work with shovels and similar tools, mechanical manipulation of small areas (including hauling fill into small areas of settling), installation of erosion-control matting, sediment filtration (silt fence, hay or straw bales, rock berms/check dams, etc.), hand, broadcast and drill seeding of small areas, and raking. This practice is applicable to all post-mine land uses at any time during the liability period. No more than 10% of the respective reclaimed unit may be repaired as a normal husbandry practice. If erosion and settling repairs are required on more than 10%, the liability period will be reinitiated. Erosion and settling repairs completed prior to the initiation of the 10-year liability period are not included in the 10%.

8. Subsidence repair (452, 454)

As defined in 82-4-203(29) MCA, subsidence means, "a vertical downward movement of overburden materials resulting from the actual mining of an underlying mineral deposit or associated underground excavations." Currently, the only active mines containing underground workings are bond forfeiture sites. These practices will be used to repair subsidence features at these sites. Future permitting actions may include underground mine workings and may necessitate the use of these practices to repair surficial features resulting from subsidence. This practice is applicable to all post-mine land uses at any time during the liability period. No more than 10% of the respective reclaimed unit may be repaired as a normal husbandry practice. If subsidence repairs are required on more than 10%, the liability period will be reinitiated. Subsidence repairs completed prior to the initiation of the 10-year liability period are not included in the 10%.

9. Ancillary disturbance and reclamation (322, 342, 382, 394, 516, 550, 560, 580, 584)

Installation, removal and reclamation of access roads, 2-track access trails, firebreaks, fences, pipelines, powerlines, surface water and groundwater monitoring

sites, erosion and subsidence monitoring sites, and small, undesigned sediment control measures, such as traps, riprap, rock or straw bale check dams, and silt fences. This practice is applicable to all post-mine land uses at any time during the liability period. Ancillary disturbance and reclamation of more than 10% of the respective reclaimed unit reinitiates the liability period. Disturbance and reclamation completed prior to the initiation of the liability period are not included in the 10%.

10. Development and maintenance of water resources (270, 322, 342, 378, 382, 412, 443, 472, 550, 574, 574, 580, 584, 614, 636, 642, 657)

Water resources may be developed to provide for better livestock distribution, seasonal wildlife habitat, or to take advantage of a naturally occurring situation, such as a spring or seep that develops in reclamation. Normal maintenance (cleaning, repair, upgrading, stabilizing with rock, and interseeding or replanting of vegetation) and protection (fencing and animal exclusion) of developed water resources and, if applicable, their shorelines, and structures associated with developed water sources is considered a normal husbandry practice. Structures that are included are well heads and pumps, decant or overflow systems, tanks or troughs, embankments, pumping systems, and mechanical conveyance systems. This practice is applicable to either water sources that can be developed or to water sources that have been developed. This practice is applicable to all approved post-mine land uses. Cleaning, repair, and upgrading may be conducted at any time during the liability period, with no reclaimed acreage limits. Stabilization, interseeding, and replanting must be completed at least six (6) years prior to Phase III bond release, on no more than 10% of the reclaimed acreage.

11. Agricultural and landscaping activities (328, 329A, 329B, 329C, 330, 340, 344, 386, 393, 441, 442, 443, 449, 462, 464, 466, 512, 561, 562, 568, 585, 586, 590, 612, 650, 666)

Annual or periodic seeding, fertilizing, irrigating, or other normal agricultural or landscaping activity carried out on approved cropland reclamation, in conjunction with an approved special use pasture, or in conjunction other approved special uses. These practices are applicable at any time during the liability period for the listed post-mining land uses. They are not applicable to grazing land or fish and wildlife habitat (ARM 17.24.762) at any time during the liability period; except in the case of recreational trails that are approved as revisions to the surface mining permit and developed across grazing lands or fish and wildlife habitat. No reclaimed acreage limits are applicable.

Livestock Grazing [ARM 17.24.323 and 719]

The Department is required to evaluate and approve all activities or management strategies which influence revegetated areas or bond release criteria. One such activity or management strategy is grazing. Livestock grazing on reclamation and reference areas is used as a management and monitoring tool, and as a partial demonstration of the ability of revegetated areas to support the postmine land use of grazing land for livestock and wildlife, fish and wildlife habitat, or both (ARM 17.24.762).

As required by ARM 17.24.323, unless alternate reclamation that does not involve grazing is proposed, an **outline of the grazing management plan** proposed for reclaimed areas must be submitted with the permit application. Prior to livestock grazing, and pursuant to ARM 17.24.719, the operator shall submit a **detailed range and grazing management plan** that describes how the reclaimed area will be managed, taking into consideration the premine utilization of the area.

The **outline of the grazing management plan** must include a description of the proposed postmine land uses and management units, the general goals for each management unit, and how the revegetated areas will be managed and monitored in relation to those general goals.

The **detailed grazing management plan** must address specific grazing goals and methods on specified tracts of reclaimed and unmined land. Examples of specific grazing goals include:

- reduction of litter, annual grasses, or noxious weeds, and facilitating the establishment and growth of shrubs, forbs, and warm-season grasses;
- determination of presence or absence of toxicity to livestock utilizing revegetated areas and reclaimed water sources;
- comparison of livestock performance on reclamation with performance on reference areas or historical records.

Method descriptions must include:

- a map and/or narrative description of grazing pastures, noting the location of fences, water sources, and other livestock facilities, as well as an estimate of the number of AUMs available in each pasture;
- a description of the type, class, and quantity of livestock to be managed;
- the timing (season of use, duration of grazing period) and sequencing (e.g., restoration scheme, reference area grazing followed by grazing of reclamation) of grazing in specific areas;

- the proposed forage utilization rate for each management unit;
- the methods which will be used to evaluate the results of grazing in relation to the management goals.

A summary and evaluation of the results of grazing must be completed at the end of each grazing season, and this information must be included in the annual report.

The Postmining Land Use section of *The Handbook of Western Reclamation Techniques* (1997), available from the Office of Technology Transfer, OSM-Denver, presents a very good discussion on how to implement and manage a revegetation grazing program, and is recommended reading.

Phase III Bond Release Evaluations

I. Hypothesis Testing for Production, Cover, and Density [ARM 17.24.726 and 733]

Population parameters which must be statistically tested are total production, total live cover, and woody-taxa density. The hypotheses which are tested during phase III bond release evaluations are: (1) the null hypothesis, that the parameter mean of the revegetated area is less than 90% of the parameter mean of the reference area, vs. (2) the alternative hypothesis, that the parameter mean of the revegetated area is greater than or equal to 90% of the parameter mean of the reference area (Ames 1993):

$$(1) H_0: \mu_{\text{revegetation}} < 0.9 \mu_{\text{reference area}}$$

$$(2) H_a: \mu_{\text{revegetation}} \geq 0.9 \mu_{\text{reference area}}$$

Note that the above formulation of the null hypothesis is different than the classical null hypothesis that is applied to experimental analyses. In the classical case, a hypothesis of no effect is assumed until convincing evidence of the high probability of an experimental effect has been acquired. However, the classical null hypothesis is inappropriate when applied to surface disturbances, where there is no question that an effect has occurred. The appropriate question is whether or not the performance standards required by regulation have been achieved (Erickson 1992, Erickson and McDonald 1995).

The so-called reverse null hypothesis, as presented above, is more than just theoretically correct. Inadequacies and difficulties that are encountered when the classical null hypothesis is misapplied become moot when the null hypothesis is correctly formulated. For example, under the classical null hypothesis, it would be to a

company's advantage to collect few samples with high variance and poor quality control, in order to minimize the power of the test and thus the chance of rejecting the assumption of "no effect". Companies taking more samples and practicing better quality control may be at a disadvantage by having greater power to detect a statistically significant difference between reclamation and the performance standard. The Department would have to counteract these basic flaws with a web of regulations designed to control both the precision and the power of hypothesis tests, under all conceivable circumstances.

Using the classical null hypothesis approach, sample adequacy must be demonstrated. Sample-size equations have been derived for populations which are normally distributed, but when such equations are used with data that are not normally distributed or not evenly dispersed, as is often true with biological populations, the calculated sample sizes may be unreasonably large. Likewise, if a preliminary sample is too small to contain much information, even data from normally distributed populations may result in sample-size overestimates (see the Sample Adequacy discussion in Appendix A). An arbitrary maximum sample size must be negotiated, and the degree of sampling effort expended may be more dependent on the skill of each side's negotiators than on the characteristics of the vegetation. Such decisions, if challenged, would be difficult to justify.

Under the reverse null hypothesis, however, if the performance standard has not been achieved there is no sample size that will indicate otherwise (McDonald and Erickson 1994). Small sample sizes and poor quality and variance control practices will not enhance the operator's chances for bond release. Therefore, when conducting phase III bond release evaluations the operator may select the number of samples to be collected, and the Department's responsibility with respect to sample adequacy will be to ensure that the data are randomly selected and properly stratified (that is, the data must be unbiased observations from the populations for which inferences are being made). The most important consideration to remember about random sampling is that all locations within the population of interest must have an equal probability of being included in a sample.

For the sake of guidance, the Department recommends a minimum sample size of 30 for each population, and population parameter, to be tested. This is the approximate minimum sample size necessary to invoke the central limit theorem, which holds that even if the original population is not normally distributed, the standardized sample mean is approximately normal if the sample size is reasonably large. The central limit theorem thus validates the use of parametric procedures no matter what distribution the original population may have (Snedecor and Cochran 1980, pp. 45-50). Parametric procedures are generally more powerful than their nonparametric equivalents, and using parametric tests should improve an operator's ability to reject the null hypothesis if the performance standard has been achieved.

Data transformation may effectively increase the power of a hypothesis test. If a test statistic for untransformed data fails to indicate that the performance standard has been achieved, it would be advisable to apply one or more of the transformations discussed in Appendix A to the data and re-test.

The arcsine transformation is used to approximate the normal distribution for percentages (such as percent cover) which naturally form binomial distributions when there are two possible outcomes (i.e., live cover either is or is not hit). If percentages range from about 30 to 70%, as is typical with Montana vegetation cover data, there is no need for transformation. If many values are nearer to 0 or 100%, however, the arcsine transformation (described in Appendix A) should be used.

Equal sample sizes should be collected whenever two or more populations are being compared. Parametric tests are not seriously affected by unequal sample variances when sample sizes are equal, but the combination of unequal variance and unequal sample size may result in a higher Type I error rate than is specified by the α level of the test (Neter, et al. 1985, p. 624). By rule, the level of the test must be held at $\alpha = 0.10$. The Satterthwaite correction, discussed in Appendix A, provides another means of ensuring that the specified α level is maintained.

When comparing the total live cover of two populations, most operators separately tally first-hit (top-layer, non-stratified, without-overlap) cover and multiple-hit (all-layer, stratified, with-overlap) cover. If first-hit cover tends to maximize at 100% (for example, when evaluating special use pastures), then the multiple-hit cover should be compared in order to better approximate the normal distribution. Since the normal distribution is an additive model, adding cover strata together to approximate the model is legitimate.

Naturally, the methods and personnel used to estimate total live cover must be exactly the same whenever samples from two populations are going to be compared.

Production sampling must be conducted as near to mid-July as possible, to accurately estimate peak standing crop in our area. Reference area and reclamation production sampling efforts must not be separated by more than two weeks, to minimize sampling bias.

In consideration of the above discussion, the Department recommends the following hypothesis-testing procedures:

1. Design a study and submit the plan to the Department for review, to ensure that all relevant rules will be addressed.
2. Collect the data, and check for normality (that is, symmetry about the mean). Histograms or the distribution plot functions found in any statistical software

package are adequate for determining whether the sample distribution is approximately normal.

3. If two populations are being compared, the assumption of equal variances should be verified by Levene's test (Appendix A).
4. Choose the appropriate procedure as described below, based upon the preliminary test results. The nonparametric tests (i.e., sign test and Mann-Whitney test) should not be substituted for parametric tests if the data appear to be normally distributed, since the operator's power to reject the null hypothesis will likely be reduced. Appendix A provides statistical formulas, examples, references, and probability tables for each of the approved procedures.
5. Submit a copy of each hypothesis-testing calculation which is conducted in support of an application for bond release.

Preliminary test results	Comparing two independent samples	Comparing to a technical standard
Data are normal Variances are equal	Conduct a two-sample t test.	Conduct a one-sample t test.
Data are normal Variances are not equal Sample sizes are equal	Calculate the Satterthwaite correction and conduct a two-sample t test.	
Data are normal Variances are not equal Sample sizes are not equal	Calculate the Satterthwaite correction, or transform the data and test the variances, or collect additional samples. Conduct a two-sample t test.	
Data are not normal Variances are equal	Conduct a Mann-Whitney test, or transform the data. If the transformed data are approximately normal, conduct a two-sample t test.	Transform the data; if the transformed data are approximately normal, conduct a one-sample t test; or conduct a one-sample sign test.
Data are not normal Variances are not equal Sample sizes are equal	Transform the data; if the transformed data are approximately normal, conduct a two-sample t test, using the Satterthwaite correction as necessary.	
Data are not normal Variances are not equal Sample sizes are not equal	Transform the data or collect additional samples and reassess normality and variance equality. Conduct the Mann-Whitney test, or the two-sample t test and Satterthwaite correction, as appropriate.	

II. Evaluations Not Requiring Hypothesis Testing: Diversity, Utility, Season of Use, the 80/60 Rule, and Predominantly Native Composition [ARM 17.24.726, 728, 730, 733, 825]

A. Diversity

ARM 17.24.726(4) requires that the diversity of the revegetated area must be comparable to the reference area or historical-record technical standard in terms of species and morphological class composition and the importance of those species and morphological classes within the vegetative community. A few active permits commit to the use of diversity indices, such as the Shannon (1948) or the Simpson (1949) indices, although the exact manner of application and the level of diversity required for a determination of success is not always clear. Chambers and Brown (1983) discuss a number of serious problems which arise when the Shannon index is applied to revegetation and reference area comparisons, and recommend that diversity indices not be used in that context. Peilou (1977) notes that the Simpson index cannot be adapted to the measurement of hierarchical diversity. Sorenson's (1948) similarity index and its several variants seem better-suited to the task, but they are not without problems. The calculation of any single-value index may actually mask the composition and importance of species and morphological classes, rather than identify whether or not composition and importance are comparable. Another serious disadvantage of similarity indices is that the number and relative importance of species which will ultimately be common to both reference and revegetation populations (a critical variable) cannot be determined until the end of the responsibility period, and consequently the diversity standards remain unknown until that time. It would be far better to determine diversity standards during the application process (or at least during reclamation), and to make use of that information as a cornerstone of the revegetation plan.

In consideration of the problems outlined above, a relatively simple comparative method for determining a quantified performance standard for species and morphological class diversity is approved and recommended. Chambers and Brown (1983) suggested that a diversity performance standard should be based on the inherent level of diversity measured on the reference area over time. It seems reasonable that we should not expect or require revegetation to be more similar to the reference area than the reference area is to itself, between years. Producers (1992) found that the similarity of species composition within an eastern Montana grassland community may be as low as 70%, for taxa contributing at least 1% canopy cover, over the course of a 4-year study. The Department conducted an evaluation of reference area monitoring data from Montana coal mines which indicated that the between-year similarity of species contributing at least 1% relative cover averaged between 69% and 73%. The Department therefore considers that a 70% performance standard for the number of native perennial forbs, native cool-season graminoids, and native warm-season graminoids which contribute an average of at least 1% relative cover to a premine physiognomic type is a technically adequate and reasonably attainable diversity standard, when that physiognomic type is an approved component of the grazing land and wildlife habitat postmine land use. This performance standard is applicable to either technical standard or reference area comparisons.

The premine or reference area average is weighted by the number of samples obtained from each vegetation community within the physiognomic type. This weighting method is based on the presumption that the number of random samples taken from a premine vegetation community is proportional to the areal extent, and relative importance, of that community. The postmine diversity standard for the number of species providing at least 1% relative cover is rounded to the nearest whole number.

The rationale for not including half-shrubs, shrubs, or trees in the diversity standard is that the composition and density of these morphological classes are addressed by the woody-taxa stocking requirements of ARM 17.24.733. Annual and biennial species are not included in the diversity standard because the annual fluctuations in cover of such species are too extreme to provide a consistent and defensible standard.

The following table illustrates how the 70% diversity standard is applied to both a riparian grassland and a conifer woodland reclamation type. The baseline data are actual averages from approved permits.

Number of species contributing at least 1% relative cover			
	Native perennial cool season graminoids	Native perennial warm season graminoids	Native perennial forbs
Riparian Grassland Baseline Weighted Mean 70% Diversity Standard	7.3 5	2.6 2	1.7 1
Conifer Woodland Baseline Weighted Mean 70% Diversity Standard	4.8 3	0.9 1	1.8 1

The diversity of introduced species in the revegetation poses an interesting dilemma. Introduced plants have been approved for reclamation seeding and planting, but considering the regulatory mandate to re-establish predominantly native taxa, it would not be appropriate to deny bond release solely on the basis of a deficiency in the number of introduced species established on reclamation. For the grazing land and wildlife habitat postmine land use, the Department's position is that diversity standards for introduced species are not generally required. If, however, the operator or the Department believes that information regarding the postmine diversity of introduced

species would strengthen an application for phase III or IV bond release, a comparison of the pre- and postmine diversity of introduced species may be included in a bond release application. Noxious weeds may not be counted towards the attainment of a diversity standard.

Inherent to the 70% species-per-morphological-class diversity standard approach is the necessity of assigning individual premine vegetation communities to physiognomic types. In turn the reclamation of these physiognomic types, as represented and approved on the revegetation and wildlife enhancement map(s), is the standard for postmine landscape diversity.

B. Utility

The demonstration of postmine utility is straightforward for croplands. Yields from reclaimed croplands are readily compared to yields from reference area fields or historical technical standards. Since the entire crop population (or at least the entire useful population) is harvested, a direct comparison of yields on a per-acre basis is all that is required.

An estimate of forage yields from special use pasture and grazing land will be provided by production sampling, but (as mentioned earlier in the Sampling Methods section) a more direct demonstration of livestock-grazing utility is given by an estimate of average daily gain or average gain per acre. Weight gain data provide an integrated assessment of the utility of both the forage and the water being used by livestock under the prevailing weather conditions. Gain data are especially informative if they are collected each time grazing is conducted during the bonding period, on both reclamation and reference areas, so that trends, if present, may be identified. The daily and per-acre gain estimates may be obtained by weighing a sample of the herd as it is being conveyed to and from the grazing pastures, with either portable or truck scales. The Department recommends that at least 10 animals from each relevant livestock class be weighed when estimating average daily gain, and that the same individual animals be weighed before and after grazing. The paired comparison thus obtained would allow a more precise evaluation of weight gain than a random sample, since only the single variance of the before and after weight differences needs to be considered, rather than the two variances of independent before and after samples (Snedecor and Cochran 1980, pp. 83-89).

As discussed in the coal program Wildlife Guidelines (1994), the Department requires that wildlife objectives specific to postmine habitat types be developed for each mine. The utility of reclamation as wildlife habitat may be inferred from revegetation evaluations and from observations of wildlife use during the bonding period, and is formally assessed upon application for phase IV bond release [ARM 17.24.1116(7)(d)(ii)].

C. Season of Use

ARM 17.24.730 requires that revegetated areas furnish palatable forage in comparable quantity and quality during the same grazing period as the approved reference area or historical-record technical standard. By rule, species palatability must be based on the literature and proven by references. Quantity must be based on the production measurements conducted as prescribed in the Sampling Methods section of these guidelines.

D. The 80/60 Rule

ARM 17.24.733(2)(a) requires that trees, shrubs, and half-shrubs which are counted for revegetation success must be at least 2 years old and that 80% of these plants must have been in place for 60% of the applicable responsibility period (that is, 6 years). This rule may be addressed by providing a narrative summary (from annual reports) of the dates and locations of each woody-taxa seeding and transplanting occurrence within the area for which bond release is requested. For transplanted shrubs and trees, the number of each species planted must also be reported. Calculated mortality rates, and any information with respect to the voluntary establishment of woody taxa contained in revegetation monitoring reports must also be summarized.

E. Predominantly Native Composition

Both a species count and live species cover shall be used to demonstrate compliance with the requirement that revegetation be composed of at least 51% native species [ARM 17.24.726 and 728]. As required by rule, a species must be contributing at least 1% cover to be countable.

A common concern among operators has been phase III bond release eligibility for reclamation conducted from the late 1970s to the mid-1980s. Approved revegetation seed mixtures during those years frequently contained a minority component of aggressive, introduced cool-season grasses. The introduced species generally out-competed the native components of the seed mixture, and frequently dominate the revegetation of that era.

In this regard, each of the following provisions of MSUMRA (Montana Strip and Underground Mine Reclamation Act) should be carefully reviewed to determine if they are relevant to a mining operation. The 1995 Legislature amended 82-4-235 to allow the Department to approve bond release on land: (1) from which coal was removed prior to May 3, 1978, and on land from which coal was not removed and that was not used, disturbed, or redisturbed in connection with mining after May 2, 1978; (2) was seeded with a seed mixture that included introduced species; and (3) which meets at least one of four condition/utility criteria. The 1997 Legislature amended 82-4-233 to

state that for land which: (1) was mined, disturbed, or redisturbed after May 2, 1978; (2) was seeded prior to January 1, 1984, using an approved seed mixture; and (3) meets certain condition/utility criteria, introduced species are considered desirable and necessary to achieve the postmining land use and may compose a major or dominant component of the reclaimed vegetation.

An additional means of addressing the issue of predominantly introduced species on early reclamation exists. While it is required that revegetation for the grazing and wildlife habitat postmine land use must be predominantly native, it is not required that every square foot, or acre, or other subunit of revegetation be predominantly native. Thus, when phase III bond release requests are submitted, the Department will consider whether native species predominate on the requested acreage as a whole, and will not selectively deny bond release for portions of the requested area based solely on species' origin.

Operators are free to designate the areas of reclamation for which bond release is requested. Careful consideration should be given to the acreage and locations of older versus more recent reclamation to ensure that the grazing land and wildlife habitat land use areas for which phase III and IV bond release is sought either support predominantly native revegetation, or meet the specific requirements of 82-4-233 and/or 82-4-235 of the Act.

References Cited

- Ames, M. 1993. Sequential sampling of surface-mined land to assess reclamation. *J. Range Mgmt.* 46:498-500.
- Canfield, R.H. 1941. Application of the line interception method in sampling range vegetation. *J. Forestry* 39:388-394.
- Chambers, J.C., and Brown, R.W. 1983. Methods for vegetation sampling and analysis on revegetated mined lands. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-151, Intermountain Forest and Range Exp. Sta., Ogden, UT.
- Daubenmire, R. 1959. A canopy-cover method of vegetational analysis. *NW Sci.* 33:43-63.
- DCC. 1976. Vegetation baseline study, East Decker Mine. Decker Coal Company.
- Erickson, W.P. 1992. Hypothesis testing under the assumption that a treatment does harm to the environment. M.S. thesis. U. of Wyoming, Laramie.
- Erickson, W.P., and McDonald, L.L. 1995. Tests for bioequivalence of control media and test media in studies of toxicity. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 14:1247-1256.
- Heady, H.F., Gibbens, R.P., and Powell, R.W. 1959. A comparison of the charting, line intercept, and line point methods of sampling shrub types of vegetation. *J. Range Mgmt.* 12:180-188.
- Levy, E.B., and Madden, E.A. 1933. The point method of pasture analysis. *New Zealand J. Ag.* 46:267-279.
- Lindsey, A.A., Barton, J.D., and Miles, S.R. 1958. Field efficiencies of forest sampling methods. *Ecology* 39:428-444.
- McDonald, L.L., and Erickson, W.P. 1994. Testing for bioequivalence in field studies: Has a disturbed site been adequately reclaimed? In *Statistics in Ecology and Environmental Monitoring* (Eds. D.J. Fletcher and B.F.J. Manly), pp. 183-197, Otago Conference Series No.2, University of Otago Press, Dunedin, New Zealand.
- McLean, A., and Tisdale, E.W. 1972. Recovery rate of depleted range sites under protection from grazing. *J. Range Mgmt.* 25:178-184.

- Neter, J., Wasserman, W., and Kutner, M. H. 1985. Applied Linear Statistical Models, 2nd ed. Irwin Press, Homewood, IL 60430. 1127 pp.
- PCC. 1985. Vegetation baseline study, Big Sky Mine Area B. Peabody Coal Company.
- Pfister, R.D., Kovalchik, B.L., Arno, S.F., and Presby, R.C. 1977. Forest habitat types of Montana. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-34, Intermountain Forest and Range Exp. Sta., Ogden, UT.
- Pielou, E.C. 1977. Mathematical Ecology. Jon Wiley and Sons, NY. 385 p.
- Producers, R.A. 1992. Annual variations in grassland cover, yield, and diversity, eastern Montana. Proc. MT Acad. Sci. 52:15-28.
- Shafer, E.L. 1963. The twig-count method for measuring hardwood deer browse. J. Wildlife Mgmt. 27:428-437.
- Shannon, C. 1948. A mathematical theory of communication. Bell Syst. Tech. J. 27:379-423.
- Simpson, E.H. 1949. Measurement of diversity. Nature 163:688.
- Snedecor, G.W., and Cochran, W.G. 1980. Statistical Methods, 7th ed. Iowa State University Press. 507 pp.
- Sorenson, T. 1948. A method of establishing groups of equal amplitude in plant sociology based on similarity of species content. Kong. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk. Biol. Skr. 5:1-34.
- Weaver, T. 1977. Area-mass relationships for Montana shrubs. Proc. MT Acad. Sci. 37:54-58.

APPENDIX A

Statistical Formulas, Examples, and References

1. Determining sample adequacy

a. The Cochran formula (parameter estimation)

Sample adequacy must be demonstrated during all vegetation studies. When estimating population parameters, numerical sample adequacy is attained when sufficient observations are taken so that we have 90% confidence that the sample mean lies within 10% of the true population mean. The minimum number of samples required to estimate a parameter with this level of precision is given by the Cochran formula

$$n_{\min} = \frac{(ts)^2}{(0.100)^2}$$

where

- t is the tabular t value for a preliminary sample with $n-1$ degrees of freedom and a two-tailed significance level of $\alpha = 0.10$
- s is the standard deviation of a preliminary sample
- $\bar{0}$ is the sample mean of a preliminary sample

Note that the Cochran formula, when modified so that $2(zs)^2$ is the numerator, is frequently cited as the Wyoming DEQ formula. Doubling the minimum sample size in this manner is appropriate when two populations are being compared, but is not correct when inferences are only being made for one population. Further, the t distribution, not the z distribution, should be used when n_{\min} is calculated from a preliminary sample (i.e., from experimental data). A two-tailed t value is used, since we wish to control both underestimates and overestimates of the population mean.

Two examples illustrate some properties of the Cochran formula. In the first case, a small preliminary production sample of $n = 5$ is collected, which yields $\bar{0} = 1618$ and $s = 710$. From the two-tailed column of Appendix Table A-1, t with 4 d.f. = 2.132. We calculate

$$n_{\min} = \frac{(2.132 \times 710)^2}{(0.10 \times 1618)^2} = 87.5 \text{ samples}$$

In the second case, a more ambitious preliminary sample of $n = 15$ is collected, yielding $\bar{0} = 1524$ and $s = 267$. The tabular t value with 14 d.f. = 1.761, and therefore

$$n_{\min} = \frac{(1.761 \times 267)^2}{(0.10 \times 1524)^2} = 9.5 \text{ samples}$$

Clearly, the Cochran formula is very sensitive to the preliminary variance estimate, and if the preliminary sample size is small (i.e., if it doesn't include very much information), the variance estimate and n_{\min} may be excessively large. On the other hand, if the preliminary sample is reasonably large, the population is properly stratified, and good quality control is practiced, the calculated minimum sample size should not be excessive. It should seldom be necessary to collect more than 30 cover, production, or density samples from any appropriately stratified population.

b. Sample sizes for comparison of means

The comparison of population means with 90% confidence is an inherent property of each of the phase III bond release testing procedures which are approved in these guidelines. A conclusion that the performance standard has been met will not occur unless 90% confidence is attained. The following table, derived from the relationship

$$n = 2 (z_{2\alpha} + z_{\beta})^2 s^2 / d^2 \quad (\text{Snedecor and Cochran 1980, p. 104})$$

provides an easy means of approximating how many observations will be needed to attain 90% confidence, in consideration of the differences in sample means and the standard deviations found during reference area and/or revegetation monitoring (a more accurate estimate may be obtained by replacing the "generic" z -values with t -values based on actual preliminary sample sizes). We calculate a standardized difference d/s , where d is the observed difference in the means from preliminary sampling, and s is the standard deviation of the more variable sample. With the probability of both Type I and II errors (α and β , respectively) set at 0.10 for a one-sided test, the number of observations to be collected from each population is

<u>d/s</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>d/s</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>d/s</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>d/s</u>	<u>n</u>
.30	100	.55	30	.80	14	1.1	7
.35	74	.60	25	.85	12	1.2	6
.40	56	.65	21	.90	11	1.3	5
.45	45	.70	18	.95	10	1.4	5
.50	36	.75	16	1.00	9	1.5	4

We can estimate the number of observations needed for a comparison of means with the data from our first example above. Let's say that the data set with $n = 5$, $\bar{x} = 1618$, and $s = 710$ is from reclamation, and the data set with $n = 15$, $\bar{x} = 1524$, and $s = 267$ is from a reference area (this is, in fact, the actual case). We multiply the reference mean by the 90% performance standard and obtain 1371.6. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}d &= 1618 - 1371.6 = 246.4 \\s &= 710 \\ \text{and } d/s &= 0.347\end{aligned}$$

Interpolating on the table values above, about 76 samples would be needed from each area. If the standard deviation from the larger sample had been the higher variance estimate, then $d/s = .923$, and 11 samples would be required from each area.

Scrimping on preliminary samples doesn't appear to be a good idea. Base sampling estimates on at least 10 or 15 preliminary observations, and even more if the populations seem highly variable.

References:

Krebs, C. J. 1989. *Ecological Methodology*. Harper and Row, New York, NY. 654 pp.
Snedecor, G.W., and Cochran, W.G. 1980. *Statistical Methods*, 7th ed. Iowa State University Press. 507 pp.

2. Levene's test for homogeneity of variances:

Levene's test uses the average of the absolute values of the deviations from the mean within a class

$$3 \cdot x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i / n$$

as a measure of variability, rather than the mean square of the deviations. Since the deviations are not squared, the sensitivity of the test to non-normality in the form of long-tailed distributions is minimized. Such departures from normality are very common in biological data.

Snedecor and Cochran (1980) provide the following example of how Levene's test is applied. The original data (4 random samples drawn from a t distribution, and thus of known equal variance) are on the left and the absolute deviations $|x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i|$ are on the right.

Data for Class					Absolute Deviations from Class Mean			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	7.40	8.84	8.09	7.55	0.54	2.08	1.89	0.71
	6.18	6.69	7.96	5.65	0.68	0.07	1.76	1.19
	6.86	7.12	5.31	6.92	0.00	0.36	0.89	0.08
	7.76	7.42	7.39	6.50	0.90	0.66	1.19	0.34
	6.39	6.83	0.51	5.46	0.47	0.07	5.69	1.38
	5.95	5.06	7.84	7.40	0.91	1.70	1.64	0.56
	<u>7.48</u>	<u>5.35</u>	<u>6.28</u>	<u>8.37</u>	<u>0.62</u>	<u>1.40</u>	<u>0.08</u>	<u>1.53</u>
Total	48.02	47.31	43.38	47.85	4.12	6.34	13.14	5.79
Mean	6.86	6.76	6.20	6.84	0.589	0.906	1.877	0.827

An analysis of variance was performed on the mean deviations in the table on the right, using the class means 0.589, 0.906, 1.877, and 0.827 as the estimates of variability within each class. The table below provides the ANOVA.

Source	df	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	F
Between classes	3	6.773	2.258	2.11
Within classes	24	25.674	1.070	

The F value 2.11 indicates a non-significant $P > 0.10$ with 3 and 24 degrees of freedom, despite the apparent outlier value of 0.51 in the data for class 3. Snedecor and Cochran note that Bartlett's test, which uses the mean square of the deviations (i.e., the sample variance) as the estimate of variability, and is perhaps the most frequently encountered test of variance homogeneity, erroneously rejects the hypothesis of equal population variances for these data.

In our revegetation vs. reference area setting, a t test of 2 independent samples (Procedure #4 below) may be conducted rather than an ANOVA. The 2-tailed probabilities of Appendix Table A-1 may be used to determine whether the hypothesis of equal variability should be rejected. **Note that the decision rules of the 2-sample t test must be reversed when conducting Levene's test, since in this case we are not reversing the classical null hypothesis of equal means.**

Reference:

Snedecor, G.W., and Cochran, W.G. 1980. Statistical Methods, 7th ed. Iowa State University Press. 507 pp.

3. The one-sample, one-sided t test:

A4

This test is appropriate for comparing a normally-distributed parameter to a technical standard (Neter, et al. 1985). The test statistic is

$$t^* = \frac{\bar{x} - 0.9 \text{ (technical standard)}}{\sqrt{\frac{s}{n}}}$$

where

- t^* is the calculated t -statistic
- \bar{x} is the sample mean
- s is the standard deviation of the sample
- n is the sample size

The α -level of the test is set at 0.10 by regulation, and the decision rules are

- If $t^* < t(1 - \alpha; n - 1)$, conclude failure to meet the performance standard
- If $t^* \geq t(1 - \alpha; n - 1)$, conclude that the performance standard was met

The following example illustrates application of the test. Revegetation cover sampling provides the following statistics: $\bar{x} = 68.2$, $s = 17.4$, $n = 30$. Assume a technical standard of 70% total live cover is approved.

$$t^* = \frac{68.2 - 0.9(70)}{\sqrt{\frac{17.4}{30}}} = 1.64 \text{ and the one-tail } t(.90; 29) = 1.31 \text{ from Appendix Table A-1}$$

Therefore, we conclude that the performance standard was met.

Reference:

Neter, J., Wasserman, W., and Kutner, M. H. 1985. Applied Linear Statistical Models, 2nd ed. Irwin Press, Homewood, IL 60430. 1127 pp.

4. The one-sided t test for two independent samples:

This test is appropriate for comparing samples from two independent, normally-distributed populations (Neter, et al. 1985). The test statistic is

$$t^* = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - 0.90 \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{SS_1 + SS_2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{0.81}{n_2} \right)}}$$

where

- t^* is the calculated t -statistic
- \bar{x}_1 is the reclamation sample mean
- \bar{x}_2 is the reference area sample mean
- SS_1 is the reclamation sum of squared deviations from the mean $\{(x_{1j} - \bar{x}_1)^2\}$
- SS_2 is the reference area sum of squared deviations from the mean $\{(x_{2j} - \bar{x}_2)^2\}$
- n_1 is the reclamation sample size
- n_2 is the reference area sample size

The α -level of the test is 0.10, and the decision rules are

- If $t^* < t(1 - \alpha; n_2 - 2)$, conclude failure to meet the performance standard
- If $t^* \geq t(1 - \alpha; n_2 - 2)$, conclude that the performance standard was met

For example, let's assume reclamation and reference area sampling has provided the following total live cover data:

For reclamation: 50, 42, 46, 48, 63, 46, 48, 42, 50, 42, 54, 52, 35, 45, 52

For the reference area: 49, 51, 53, 47, 55, 54, 44, 47, 50, 47, 52, 40, 56, 25, 33

The summary table is

Reclamation	$n_1 = 15$	$\bar{x}_1 = 47.6$	$SS_1 = 593.4$
Reference Area	$n_2 = 15$	$\bar{x}_2 = 46.9$	$SS_2 = 1021.7$

and

$$t^* = \frac{47.6 - 0.90(46.9)}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{593.4 + 1021.7}{15 + 15 - 2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{15} + \frac{.81}{15} \right)}} = 2.323 \text{ and the one-tailed } (0.90; 28) = 1.313 \text{ (Appendix Table A-1)}$$

Therefore, we conclude that the performance standard was met.

Reference:

Neter, J., Wasserman, W., and Kutner, M. H. 1985. Applied Linear Statistical Models, 2nd ed. Irwin Press, Homewood, IL 60430. 1127 pp.

5. The one-sample, one-sided sign test:

The sign test is appropriate for comparing a sample with observations which are not normal (i.e., not symmetrical about the mean) to a technical standard (Daniel 1990). Observations must be randomly selected and independent. An early criticism of these guidelines questioned the use of the sign test, rather than the Wilcoxon signed-rank test, when comparing a nonnormal population to a technical standard. The signed-rank is generally the more powerful test, however it carries the assumption that the population being sampled is symmetrical, i.e., that the median is equal to the mean. If the assumption of symmetry is met (or can be met by transforming the data), the Department recommends that the even more powerful one-sample t test be used. If the data are not symmetrically distributed, but an obvious majority of the sample values are greater than the performance standard, then the sign test is recommended.

The technical standard is multiplied by the 0.90 performance standard and the result is subtracted from each observation, recording the sign of the difference. Any observations which are equal to 90% of the technical standard, and thus yield no difference, are dropped from the analysis. The test statistic k is the number of "minus" signs. K designates a random variable drawn from a binomial distribution, which is the appropriate model for sampling when only 2 outcomes are possible, such as coin tosses, or in this case, plus or minus signs. Since $\alpha = 0.10$ by regulation, the decision rules are

If $P(K \leq k, \text{ given sample size } n \text{ from a binomial population expected to yield minus signs } 50\% \text{ of the time if } H_0 \text{ is true}) > 0.10$, conclude failure to meet the performance standard.

If $P(K \leq k, \text{ given sample size } n \text{ from a binomial population expected to yield minus signs } 50\% \text{ of the time if } H_0 \text{ is true}) \leq 0.10$, conclude that the performance standard was met.

Assume that reclamation sampling has provided the following 26 tree-density observations, which will be compared to a technical standard of 40 trees/acre

30	24	90	0	56	45	39	15	22	45	10	32	30
38	180	36	0	45	15	70	45	67	55	90	78	57

Multiplying the technical standard by the 90% performance standard yields 36. Subtracting 36 from each observation results in the following signs

A7

- - + - + + + - - + - - -
+ + (tie dropped) - + - + + + + + + +

and thus $k = 10$ minus signs, and $n = 25$.

From Appendix Table A-2 we determine that $P(K \leq 10, \text{ given a sample size of } 25 \text{ and a } 50\% \text{ chance for minus signs if } H_0 \text{ is true}) = 0.2122$. Therefore, we conclude failure to meet the performance standard. In this example, 8 or fewer minus signs would result in a conclusion that the performance standard had been achieved.

Daniel (1990) provides a large-sample, normal approximation to the binomial for sample sizes of 12 or larger.

$$z = \frac{(\text{No. of minus signs} + 0.5) - 0.5n}{0.5 \sqrt{n}}$$

For the tree-density example given above, the large-sample normal approximation would be applied as follows

$$z = \frac{(10 + 0.5) - 0.5(25)}{0.5 \sqrt{25}} = -0.80$$

Appendix Table A-3 indicates that the probability of observing a value of z this small is 0.2119, and as above, we conclude failure to meet the performance standard. **Note that we are determining the probability of observing fewer than the expected value of 50% minus signs. If the number of minus signs exceeds 50% of the total number of observations, there is no need to conduct the sign test--the performance standard has not been met.**

Reference:

Daniel, W.W. 1990. Applied Nonparametric Statistics, 2nd ed. PWS-KENT, Boston. 635 pp.

6. The one-sided Mann-Whitney test for two independent samples:

The Mann-Whitney test is appropriate for testing whether two populations have the same median values for a parameter. The populations need not follow a normal distribution, although it is assumed that the two populations have the same distribution; that is, the population variances are assumed to be equal. The Mann-Whitney test is especially apt in cases where two long-tailed sample distributions are being compared,

because comparisons of observation ranks, rather than actual values, are made.

The first consideration in the bond release scenario is how to incorporate the 90% performance standard into the test. We wish to detect a shift in the hypothesized population median, rather than a multiplicative effect. A transformation of both reclaimed and reference data must be made prior to assigning ranks. Since ranks are invariant to logarithmic transformations, the log transformation is an appropriate choice. For the reference area data, the transformation is

$$X'_{\text{reference}} = \log(X_{\text{reference}} + 1) + \log(0.9)$$

Remember that $\log(xy) = \log(x) + \log(y)$. The 1 is added to the observation values in case some observations are equal to zero, since $\log(0)$ is undefined. The reclamation data is transformed as shown

$$X'_{\text{reclamation}} = \log(X_{\text{reclamation}} + 1)$$

We then combine all of the log-transformed values from both samples and rank them from the smallest (which is given a rank of 1) to the largest. Tied observations are assigned the average of the ranks they would have received if there were no ties. We then sum the ranks of the transformed observations from the reference area population

$$T = (S_{\text{reference}}) - \left(\frac{n_1(n_1 + 1)}{2} \right)$$

($S_{\text{reference}}$). The test statistic T is calculated as follows

where n_1 is the number of observations in the reference area sample.

The decision rules, with α set at 0.10, are

If $T > w_{0.10}$, conclude failure to meet the performance standard
 If $T \leq w_{0.10}$, conclude that the performance standard was met

where $w_{0.10}$ is the critical value of T observed in Appendix Table A-4 given n_1 and n_2 (the number of observations in the reclamation sample).

An example of the use of the Mann-Whitney test follows. Let's assume we have collected 20 shrub-density observations from both a reference area and a reclaimed area, as indicated below

Reference Area Observation	$\log(\text{Observation}+1) + \log(0.9)$	Rank	Reference Area Observation	$\log(\text{Observation}+1) + \log(0.9)$	Rank
			0	0	1.5
			0	0	1.5
3	0.5563	3			
10	0.9956	4			
17	1.2095	5			
22	1.3160	6.5			
22	1.3160	6.5			
23	1.3345	8			
27	1.4014	9			
			25	1.4150	10
			29	1.4771	11
33	1.4857	12			
35	1.5105	13.5			
35	1.5105	13.5			
36	1.5224	15			
37	1.5340	16.5			
37	1.5340	16.5			
			35	1.5563	18.5
			35	1.5563	18.5
			38	1.5911	20
			40	1.6128	21
45	1.6170	23			
45	1.6170	23			
45	1.6170	23			
			42	1.6335	25
			44	1.6532	26.5
49	1.6532	26.5			
			45	1.6628	28
			48	1.6902	29
55	1.7024	30			
			50	1.7076	31
			51	1.7160	32
			58	1.7709	33
			60	1.7853	34
			65	1.8195	35
			75	1.8808	36
			78	1.8976	37
			132	2.1239	38
192	2.2398	39			
415	2.5733	40			

$$\text{Therefore } 333.5 = S_{\text{reference, and}} \\ T = (333.5) - \frac{20(20+1)}{2} = 123.5$$

Since the calculated T value is less than the critical value of 152 ($w_{0.10}$ with $n_1 = 20$, $n_2 = 20$) from Appendix Table A-4, we conclude that the performance standard was met.

Daniel (1990) presents a large-sample normal approximation when either n_1 or n_2 are more than 20

$$z = \frac{T - n_1 n_2 / 2}{\sqrt{n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2 + 1) / 12}}$$

Inserting the calculated T value and sample sizes from the shrub-density example, we have

$$z = \frac{123.5 - (20 \times 20 / 2)}{\sqrt{20 \times 20 (20 + 20 + 1) / 12}} = -2.07$$

Appendix Table A-3 indicates that the probability of observing a value of z this small is 0.0192, and as above, we conclude that the performance standard was met.

Woody-taxa density is a difficult vegetation attribute to estimate, but the Mann-Whitney test appears to be a very promising technique. Therefore another example is provided, using actual reference area and baseline shrub-density observations from an upland grassland physiognomic type (the baseline data, for the purpose of this example, are considered to be from reclamation). If the summary statistics for the following data are used to estimate the sample size for a comparison of means, the ratio $d/s = 0.24$, and the estimated minimum sample size is well over 100 observations from each population.

This seems excessive. Both populations are positively skewed and there are a large number of zero values, which seems reasonable for shrub densities in a composite of upland grassland communities. The Mann-Whitney test is indicated.

Reference Area			Reclamation		
Observation	$\log(\text{Observation} + 1) + \log(0.9)$	Rank	Observation	$\log(\text{Observation} + 1)$	Rank
0	-0.046	5			
0	-0.046	5			
0	-0.046	5			
0	-0.046	5			
0	-0.046	5			
0	-0.046	5			
0	-0.046	5			
0	-0.046	5			
0	-0.046	5			
			0	0	14.5
			0	0	14.5
			0	0	14.5
			0	0	14.5
			0	0	14.5
			0	0	14.5
			0	0	14.5
			0	0	14.5
			0	0	14.5
			0	0	14.5
167	2.180	20	167	2.225	21.5
			167	2.225	21.5
333	2.478	23			
334	2.479	24.5			
334	2.479	24.5			
			333	2.524	26.5
			333	2.524	26.5
			334	2.525	29.5
			334	2.525	29.5
500	2.654	31.5			
500	2.654	31.5			
			500	2.700	33.5
			500	2.700	33.5
666	2.778	35.5			
666	2.778	35.5			
667	2.779	37			
			667	2.825	38
833	2.875	39			
			834	2.922	40
1000	2.955	41.5			
1000	2.955	41.5			
1167	3.022	43			
1333	3.079	44			
1334	3.080	45.5			
1334	3.080	45.5			
1499	3.130	47			
1500	3.131	48.5			
1500	3.131	48.5			
			1667	3.222	50
2000	3.255	51			
			2000	3.301	52
			2334	3.368	53
			3167	3.501	54
			3334	3.523	55

Reference Area			Reclamation		
Observation	$\log(\text{Observation} + 1) + \log(0.9)$	Rank	Observation	$\log(\text{Observation} + 1)$	Rank
3833	3.538	56			

A12

			3667	3.564	57
			4000	3.602	58.5
			4000	3.602	58.5
			4333	3.637	60
			4500	3.653	61
			5000	3.699	62
7334	3.820	63.5			
7334	3.820	63.5			
8500	3.884	65			
			8834	3.946	66
			10500	4.021	67
			20166	4.305	68
Therefore, $S_{\text{reference}} =$			1051		

$$T = (1051) - \frac{34(34+1)}{2} = 456, \text{ and } z = \frac{456 - (34 \times 34 / 2)}{\sqrt{34 \times 34 (34 + 34 + 1) / 12}} = -1.50$$

From Appendix Table A-3, the probability of randomly observing a z value of -1.50 is 0.0668, and we conclude that the performance standard was met.

Note that in the second example above, all of the tied observation ranks occurred within either one population or the other, so averaging the ranks wasn't really necessary, except to demonstrate the procedure.

Reference:

Daniel, W.W. 1990. Applied Nonparametric Statistics, 2nd ed. PWS-KENT Publishing Co., Boston, MA. 635 pp.

7. The Satterthwaite correction:

The presence of unequal sample variances in two populations which are going to be compared results in a t statistic which does not follow Student's t distribution. The Satterthwaite correction assigns an appropriate number of degrees of freedom to the calculated t so that the ordinary t table (Appendix Table A-1) may be used. The corrected degrees of freedom are given by

$$v' = \frac{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2} \right)^2}{\frac{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} \right)^2}{n_1 - 1} + \frac{\left(\frac{s_2^2}{n_2} \right)^2}{n_2 - 1}}$$

where s_1^2 and s_2^2 are the sample variances for the 2 populations, and n_1 and n_2 are the respective sample sizes. An example from Snedecor and Cochran (1980) follows. Four observations from one population are going to be compared to 8 observations from a

second population. The summary statistics are

$n_1 = 4$, with 3 degrees of freedom

$\bar{y}_1 = 25$

$s_1^2 = 0.67$

$s_1^2/n_1 = 0.17$

$n_2 = 8$, with 7 degrees of freedom

$\bar{y}_2 = 21$

$s_2^2 = 17.71$

$s_2^2/n_2 =$
2.21

Without taking the Satterthwaite correction into account, the degrees of freedom for the t statistic would be calculated as $n_1 + n_2 - 2 = 10$. Correcting for unequal variances yields

$$v' = \frac{\left(\frac{0.67}{4} + \frac{17.71}{8} \right)^2}{\frac{\left(\frac{0.67}{4} \right)^2}{4-1} + \frac{\left(\frac{17.71}{8} \right)^2}{8-1}} = 7.99$$

Therefore, the t value from Appendix Table A-1 which is associated with 8 degrees of freedom (1.397 for a one-sided test) is the proper comparative statistic to use when designating the decision rules.

Reference:

Snedecor, G.W., and Cochran, W.G. 1980. Statistical Methods, 7th ed. Iowa State University Press. 507 pp.

8. Data transformation:

Data transformations are applied to change the scale of measurements in order to better approximate the normal distribution. However, if the Department's recommendations are followed to (1) take a minimum of 30 observations from each population of interest to invoke the central limit theorem, and (2) always take the same number of observations from each population being compared to decrease sensitivity to heterogeneous variances, the need for data transformation should be minimized.

Three basic rules applicable to the use of all transformations are given by Krebs (1989):

1. Never convert *variances*, *standard deviations*, or *standard errors* back to the original measurement scale. These statistics have no meaning on the original scale of measurement.

2. *Means* and *confidence limits* may be converted back to the original scale by applying the inverse transformation.

3. Never compare means calculated from untransformed data with means calculated from any transformation, reconverted back to the original scale of measurement. They are not comparable means. All statistical comparisons between different groups must be done using one common transformation for all groups.

The **arcsine transformation** is used to approximate the normal distribution for percentages (such as percent cover) and proportions which naturally form binomial distributions when there are two possible outcomes, or multinomial distributions when there are three or more potential outcomes. As previously mentioned, if percentages range from about 30 to 70%, as is typical with Montana vegetation cover data, there is no need for transformation. If many values are nearer to 0 or 100%, however, the arcsine transformation should be used. Note that $\arcsine = \sin^{-1}$. The observation from the original data is replaced by the transformed observation (X'). The arcsine transformation recommended by Krebs (1989) is

$$X' = \arcsine \sqrt{p}$$

where p is the observed proportion.

To convert arcsine-transformed means back to the original scale of percentages or proportions the procedure is reversed.

$$\overline{p} = (\sin \overline{X'})^2$$

The **square-root transformation** is commonly applied when sample variances are proportional to the sample means.

$$X' = \sqrt{X + 0.5}$$

This transformation is preferable to the straight square-root transformation when the original data include small numbers and some zero values. The mean may be converted back to the original scale by reversing the transformation.

$$\overline{X} = (\overline{X'})^2 - 0.5$$

The **logarithmic transformation** is used when percent changes or multiplicative effects

(such as multiplying observations by a 90% performance standard, as previously discussed) occur. This transformation will convert a positively-skewed frequency distribution into a more nearly symmetrical distribution.

$$X' = \log (X + 1)$$

Either natural (base e) or base 10 logs may be used. Conversion of the mean back to the original scale is accomplished by

$$\overline{X} = [\text{antilog} (\overline{X'})] - 1 = 10^{\overline{X'}} - 1$$

Reference:

Krebs, C. J. 1989. Ecological Methodology. Harper and Row, New York, NY. 654 pp.

Table A-1: Percentiles of the t distribution for $\alpha = 0.10$ (one-tailed and two-tailed)

Degrees of freedom <u>(n - 1)</u>	One-tailed <u>t value</u>	Two-tailed <u>t value</u>
1	3.078	6.314
2	1.886	2.920
3	1.638	2.353
4	1.533	2.132
5	1.476	2.015
6	1.440	1.943
7	1.415	1.895
8	1.397	1.860
9	1.383	1.833
10	1.372	1.812
11	1.363	1.796
12	1.356	1.782
13	1.350	1.771
14	1.345	1.761
15	1.341	1.753
16	1.337	1.746
17	1.333	1.740
18	1.330	1.734
19	1.328	1.729
20	1.325	1.725
21	1.323	1.721
22	1.321	1.717
23	1.319	1.714
24	1.318	1.711
25	1.316	1.708
26	1.315	1.706
27	1.314	1.703
28	1.313	1.701
29	1.311	1.699
30	1.310	1.697
40	1.303	1.684
60	1.296	1.671
120	1.289	1.658
∞	1.282	1.645

Adapted from Neter, J., Wasserman, W., and Kutner, M. H. 1985. Applied Linear Statistical Models, 2nd ed.

Table A-2: The binomial probability distribution for a population expected to yield minus signs 50% of the time when H_0 is true

The tabulated probabilities are additive. For example, if we want to determine the probability that $K \leq 4$ when $n = 11$, we add the probabilities for each r value from 0 to 4 in the $n = 11$ column to obtain the sum of 0.2745.

n =	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
r = 0	.5000	.2500	.1250	.0625	.0312	.0156	.0078	.0039	.0020	.0010	.0005
1	.5000	.5000	.3750	.2500	.1562	.0938	.0547	.0312	.0176	.0098	.0054
2		.2500	.3750	.3750	.3125	.2344	.1641	.1094	.0703	.0439	.0269
3			.1250	.2500	.3125	.3125	.2734	.2188	.1641	.1172	.0806
4				.0625	.1562	.2344	.2734	.2734	.2461	.2051	.1611
5					.0312	.0938	.1641	.2188	.2461	.2461	.2256
6						.0156	.0547	.1094	.1641	.2051	.2256
7							.0078	.0312	.0703	.1172	.1611
8								.0039	.0176	.0439	.0806
9									.0020	.0098	.0269
10										.0010	.0054
11											.0005

Table A-2 continues on page A19

Table A-2: The binomial probability distribution--continued

n =	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	25
r = 0	.0002	.0001	.0001	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
1	.0029	.0016	.0009	.0005	.0002	.0001	.0001	.0000	.0000	.0000
2	.0161	.0095	.0056	.0032	.0018	.0010	.0006	.0003	.0002	.0000
3	.0537	.0349	.0222	.0139	.0085	.0052	.0031	.0018	.0011	.0001
4	.1208	.0873	.0611	.0417	.0278	.0182	.0117	.0074	.0046	.0004
5	.1934	.1571	.1222	.0916	.0667	.0472	.0327	.0222	.0148	.0016
6	.2256	.2095	.1833	.1527	.1222	.0944	.0708	.0518	.0370	.0053
7	.1934	.2095	.2095	.1964	.1746	.1484	.1214	.0961	.0739	.0143
8	.1208	.1571	.1833	.1964	.1964	.1855	.1669	.1442	.1201	.0322
9	.0537	.0873	.1222	.1527	.1746	.1855	.1855	.1762	.1602	.0609
10	.0161	.0349	.0611	.0916	.1222	.1484	.1669	.1442	.1762	.0974
11	.0029	.0095	.0222	.0417	.0667	.0944	.1214	.0961	.1602	.1328
12	.0002	.0016	.0056	.0139	.0278	.0472	.0708	.0518	.1201	.1550
13		.0001	.0009	.0032	.0085	.0182	.0327	.0222	.0739	.1550
14			.0001	.0005	.0018	.0052	.0117	.0074	.0370	.1328
15					.0002	.0010	.0031	.0018	.0148	.0974
16						.0001	.0006	.0003	.0046	.0609
17							.0001		.0011	.0322
18									.0002	.0143
19										.0053
20										.0016
21										.0004
22										.0001

Adapted from Daniel, W.W. 1990. Applied Nonparametric Statistics, 2nd ed.

Table A-3: Standard one-tailed normal curve areas

Table entries give the area under the normal curve from 0 to z . Subtract the table entry from 0.5 to obtain the tail area of the curve, which is the probability of randomly observing a value of z which is equal to, or more extreme than, the calculated z value. If calculated values have negative signs, disregard the sign when using this table. For example, the table entry for $z = -1.96$ is 0.4750, and the probability of randomly observing that z value is 0.0250.

z	.00	.01	.02	.03	.04	.05	.06	.07	.08	.09
0.0	.0000	.0040	.0080	.0120	.0160	.0199	.0239	.0279	.0319	.0359
0.1	.0398	.0438	.0478	.0517	.0557	.0596	.0636	.0675	.0714	.0753
0.2	.0793	.0832	.0871	.0910	.0948	.0987	.1026	.1064	.1103	.1141
0.3	.1179	.1217	.1255	.1293	.1331	.1368	.1406	.1443	.1480	.1517
0.4	.1554	.1591	.1628	.1664	.1700	.1736	.1772	.1808	.1844	.1879
0.5	.1915	.1950	.1985	.2019	.2054	.2088	.2133	.2157	.2190	.2224
0.6	.2257	.2291	.2324	.2357	.2389	.2422	.2454	.2486	.2517	.2549
0.7	.2580	.2611	.2642	.2673	.2704	.2734	.2764	.2794	.2823	.2852
0.8	.2881	.2910	.2939	.2967	.2995	.3023	.3051	.3078	.3106	.3133
0.9	.3159	.3186	.3212	.3238	.3264	.3289	.3315	.3340	.3365	.3389
1.0	.3413	.3438	.3461	.3485	.3508	.3531	.3554	.3577	.3599	.3621
1.1	.3643	.3665	.3686	.3708	.3729	.3749	.3770	.3790	.3810	.3830
1.2	.3849	.3869	.3888	.3907	.3925	.3944	.3962	.3980	.3997	.4015
1.3	.4032	.4049	.4066	.4082	.4099	.4115	.4131	.4147	.4162	.4177
1.4	.4192	.4207	.4222	.4236	.4251	.4265	.4279	.4292	.4306	.4319
1.5	.4332	.4345	.4357	.4370	.4382	.4394	.4406	.4418	.4429	.4441
1.6	.4452	.4463	.4474	.4484	.4495	.4505	.4515	.4525	.4535	.4545
1.7	.4554	.4564	.4573	.4582	.4591	.4599	.4608	.4616	.4625	.4633
1.8	.4641	.4649	.4656	.4664	.4671	.4678	.4686	.4693	.4699	.4706
1.9	.4713	.4719	.4726	.4732	.4738	.4744	.4750	.4756	.4761	.4767
2.0	.4772	.4778	.4783	.4788	.4793	.4798	.4803	.4808	.4812	.4817
2.1	.4821	.4826	.4830	.4834	.4838	.4842	.4846	.4850	.4854	.4857
2.2	.4861	.4864	.4868	.4871	.4875	.4878	.4881	.4884	.4887	.4890
2.3	.4893	.4896	.4898	.4901	.4904	.4906	.4909	.4911	.4913	.4916
2.4	.4918	.4920	.4922	.4925	.4927	.4929	.4931	.4932	.4934	.4936
2.5	.4938	.4940	.4941	.4943	.4945	.4946	.4948	.4949	.4951	.4952
2.6	.4953	.4955	.4956	.4957	.4959	.4960	.4961	.4962	.4963	.4964
2.7	.4965	.4966	.4967	.4968	.4969	.4970	.4971	.4972	.4973	.4974
2.8	.4974	.4975	.4976	.4977	.4977	.4978	.4979	.4979	.4980	.4981
2.9	.4981	.4982	.4982	.4983	.4984	.4984	.4985	.4985	.4986	.4986
3.0	.4987	.4987	.4987	.4988	.4988	.4989	.4989	.4989	.4990	.4990

Adapted from Snedecor, G.W., and Cochran, W.G. 1980. Statistical Methods, 7th ed.

Table A-4: Values of $w_{0.10}$ for the Mann-Whitney test statistic

n_1	$n_2=$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
2		0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5	5	6	6	7	7	8	8
3		1	2	2	3	4	5	6	6	7	8	9	10	11	11	12	13	14	15	16
4		1	2	4	5	6	7	8	10	11	12	13	14	16	17	18	19	21	22	23
5		2	3	5	6	8	9	11	13	14	16	18	19	21	23	24	26	28	29	31
6		2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	24	26	28	30	32	35	37	39
7		2	5	7	9	12	14	17	19	22	24	27	29	32	34	37	39	42	44	47
8		3	6	8	11	14	17	20	23	25	28	31	34	37	40	43	46	49	52	55
9		3	6	10	13	16	19	23	26	29	32	36	39	42	46	49	53	56	59	63
10		4	7	11	14	18	22	25	29	33	37	40	44	48	52	55	59	63	67	71
11		4	8	12	16	20	24	28	32	37	41	45	49	53	58	62	66	70	74	79
12		5	9	13	18	22	27	31	36	40	45	50	54	59	64	68	73	78	82	87
13		5	10	14	19	24	29	34	39	44	49	54	59	64	69	75	80	85	90	95
14		5	11	16	21	26	32	37	42	48	53	59	64	70	75	81	86	92	98	103
15		6	11	17	23	28	34	40	46	52	58	64	69	75	81	87	93	99	105	111
16		6	12	18	24	30	37	43	49	55	62	68	75	81	87	94	100	107	113	120
17		7	13	19	26	32	39	46	53	59	66	73	80	86	93	100	107	114	121	128
18		7	14	21	28	35	42	49	56	63	70	78	85	92	99	107	114	121	129	136
19		8	15	22	29	37	44	52	59	67	74	82	90	98	105	113	121	129	136	144
20		8	16	23	31	39	47	55	63	71	79	87	95	103	111	120	128	136	144	152

Adapted from Daniel, W.W. 1990. Applied Nonparametric Statistics, 2nd ed.

APPENDIX B

Vegetation and Land Use Rules

Table B-1. A listing of administrative rules addressing vegetation and land use requirements.

ARM	Subject
Definitions	
17.24.301(6)	Adjacent area
17.24.301(8)	Agricultural activities or farming
17.24.301(9)	Agricultural use
17.24.301(10)	Alluvial valley floor
17.24.301(11)	Alternate reclamation
17.24.301(16)	Arid and semiarid
17.24.301(19)	Best technology currently available
17.24.301(28)	Cover
17.24.301(32)	Disturbed area
17.24.301(37)(d)	Essential hydrologic functions
17.24.301(39)	Farm
17.24.301(41)	Flood irrigation
17.24.301(42)	Fragile lands
17.24.301(47)	Higher or better use
17.24.301(50)(a) and (b)	Historically used for cropland
17.24.301(59)	Irreparable damage to the environment
17.24.301(61)	Land use
17.24.301(62)(b)	Major Revision
17.24.301(67)	Mulch
17.24.301(69)	Noxious plants
17.24.301(84)	Prime farmland
17.24.301(87)	Productivity
17.24.301(91)	Public park
17.24.301(92)	Rangeland
17.24.301(94)	Reclamation
17.24.301(96)	Reference area
17.24.301(98)	Renewable resource lands
17.24.301(103)	Significant, imminent environmental harm

Table B-1. - continued

ARM	Subject
17.24.301(108)	Stabilize
17.24.301(109)	Subirrigation
17.24.301(112)(b)	Substantially disturb
17.24.301(125)	Undeveloped rangeland
Application Requirements	
17.24.302	Format and supplemental information
17.24.304(4)	Special, exceptional, critical or unique characteristics
17.24.304(8)	Climatological information
17.24.304(9)	Vegetation surveys
17.24.304(12)	Land condition, capability, and productivity
17.24.305(1)(f)(i)(r)&(t)	Maps
17.24.306	Prime farmland investigation
17.24.308(5)	Noxious weed control plan
17.24.312	Fish and wildlife plan (T&E spp.)
17.24.313	Reclamation plan
17.24.318	Protection of public parks and historic places
17.24.323	Grazing plan
17.24.324	Prime farmlands: special application requirements
17.24.325	Alluvial valley floors: special application requirements
Permit Procedures	
17.24.404(4)&(5)(d)	Adequacy of fish and wildlife plan (T&E spp.)
17.24.405(6)(e)&(j)	Findings and notices of decision (T&E spp.)
17.24.416(2)(c)	Permit renewal
Backfilling and Grading Requirements	
17.24.503	Small depressions
17.24.504	Permanent impoundments
17.24.505(2)	Burial and treatment of waste materials
17.24.515(2)(a)	Highwall reduction
17.24.518	Buffer zones
17.24.520(1),(7)-(9)	Disposal of excess spoil
17.24.521(2)	Temporary cessation of operations
17.24.522(2)	Permanent cessation of operations
17.24.524(4)	Buffer zone markers

Table B-1. - continued

ARM	Subject
Transportation Facilities	
17.24.601(1),(2)(b),(8)	General requirements for roads and railroad loop construction
17.24.602	Location of roads and railroad loops
17.24.603(12)&(13)	Embankments
17.24.605(3)(d)	Hydrologic impact of roads and railroad loops
17.24.608	Impacts of other transport facilities
17.24.609(1)(a)	Other support facilities
17.24.610	Permanent roads
Hydrology	
17.24.631(2),(3)(b)	General hydrology requirements
17.24.633(2)	Water quality performance standards
17.24.634(1)(e),(f)&(g),(2)	Reclamation of drainages
17.24.636(2)(c)	Special requirements for temporary diversions
17.24.638(2)(a)&(f)	Sediment control measures
17.24.639(3)(d)(ii),(20),(22)(a)&(b)	Sedimentation ponds and other treatment facilities
17.24.642(1)(a)-(e)&(h),(5),(7)&(10)	Permanent and temporary impoundments
17.24.643	Groundwater protection
17.24.644(1)	Protection of groundwater recharge
17.24.645(5)(a)(ii)	Groundwater monitoring
17.24.646(4)	Surface water monitoring
17.24.648	Water rights and replacement
17.24.650	Postmining rehabilitation of sediment ponds
17.24.651	Stream channel disturbances and buffer zones
Revegetation and Protection of Wildlife	
17.24.701(1)	Removal of soil
17.24.702(3)(a),(5),(6)	Redistribution and stockpiling of soil
17.24.703(1)	Substitution of other materials for soil
17.24.711	Establishment of vegetation
17.24.713	Timing of seeding and planting
17.24.714	Cover crops and mulching
17.24.716	Method of revegetation
17.24.717	Planting of trees
17.24.718	Soil amendments and other management techniques

Table B-1. - continued

ARM	Subject
17.24.719	Livestock grazing
17.24.720	Annual inspections for revegetated areas
17.24.721	Eradication of rills and gullies
17.24.723	Monitoring
17.24.724	Use of revegetation comparison standards
17.24.725	Period of responsibility
17.24.726	Vegetation production, cover, diversity, density, and utility requirements
17.24.728	Composition of vegetation
17.24.730	Season of use
17.24.731	Analysis for toxicity
17.24.732	Vegetation requirements for previously cropped areas
17.24.733	Measurement standards for trees, shrubs, and half-shrubs
17.24.751(1),(2)(b),(e)-(j)	Protection and enhancement of fish and wildlife
17.24.761(2)(f),(k),(m)&(r)	Air resources protection
17.24.762	Postmining land use
Alluvial Valley Floors	
17.24.801	Preservation of hydrologic functions and protection of farming
17.24.802	Protection of farming and prevention of material damage
17.24.804	Monitoring
17.24.805	Significance determination
17.24.806	Material damage determination
Prime Farmlands	
17.24.811(1)&(3)	Soil handling
17.24.815	Revegetation
Alternate Reclamation	
17.24.821(1)	Submission of plan
17.24.823	Approval of plan and review of operation
17.24.824	Alternate postmining land uses
17.24.825	Alternate revegetation

Table B-1. - continued

ARM	Subject
Remining	
17.24.835(1)	Application and operating requirements
Underground Mining	
17.24.901(1)(c)(i)(F)&(1)(c)(ii)(A)-(C)	General application and review requirements
17.24.911(1)&(5)	Subsidence control
17.24.912(1)	Buffer zones
17.24.924(3)(c),(5),(6),(9),(19)(b)(iv)	Disposal of underground development waste
Coal Processing Waste	
17.24.932(9)	Disposal of coal processing waste
Prospecting	
17.24.1001(2)(b),(d)-(f), (g)(iii)(F), &(m)	Application requirements
17.24.1002(2)(k)	Information and monthly reports
17.24.1004	Environmental monitoring
17.24.1005(3)(b)	Drill holes
17.24.1006(1)	Roads
17.24.1008	Revegetation
17.24.1018(3)(a)&(4)	Notice of intent to prospect
Bonding	
17.24.1103	Period of responsibility for alternate reclamation
17.24.1111(1)&(2)	Bond release application contents
17.24.1114(1)(a)&(b)	Departmental review and decision on bond release application
17.24.1116(3)(c),(4)-(7)	Criteria and schedule for release of bond
Annual Report	
17.24.1129(1),(2)(d),(e),&(h)	Annual report
Protected Areas	
17.24.1131	Protection of parks and historic sites
17.24.1132(1)	Areas upon which coal mining is prohibited: definitions
17.24.1133	Procedures for determination
17.24.1137	Consultation with other agencies
17.24.1138	Designation process not affected
Designation of Lands Unsuitable	
17.24.1141(1)&(3)	Definition
17.24.1143	Prospecting on designated lands

Table B-1. - continued

ARM	Subject
17.24.1144	Petition for designation or termination of designation
17.24.1147(1)	Decision on petition
17.24.1148	Data base and inventory system
Special Departmental Procedures	
17.24.1202(2)	Revegetation inspections
Modification of Existing Permits	
17.24.1301(1)(c)(v),(4)(c)	Issuance of revisions and permits

APPENDIX C

Montana Range Plants

by

Carl Wambolt*

Purpose

Regardless of backgrounds, people working with range plants are often perplexed at the lack of consistency among the many reference materials available on nomenclature and other pertinent plant characteristics. Thus the purpose of this painstaking compilation is an attempt to cite the currently most acceptable nomenclature and information relating to plant longevity, origin, season of growth and grazing response to cattle.

Undoubtedly many readers will find points of disagreement with their current understandings. However, if we expect to communicate effectively with one another, then standardization such as offered in this work will be necessary. Certainly, some points are subject to change as our knowledge increases through research and experience. Also, it is possible that errors do exist in this work and if discovered the author would appreciate learning of them so that corrections can be made in subsequent printings.

A great many thank-yous are in order for those individuals who spent hours reviewing the materials. While it is probably unwise to name individuals for fear of neglecting some, the range staff of the Soil Conservation Service, USDA, located in Montana, and the Range Science staff at Montana State University deserve special mention.

How To Use This Publication

Each plant is listed twice, once alphabetically by scientific name, and again alphabetically by common name. The reader should choose the listing he finds easiest to use.

Plants are subdivided by vegetative class, including: 1) grass; 2) grasslike plants; 3) forbs, ferns and mosses; 4) cactus; and, 5) half-shrubs, shrubs, trees and vines.

* Associate Professor, Dept. of Animal & Range Science and former Extension Service Specialist.

The four capital letters following each plant name provide the following information:

- I. First column — *Longevity*
P = perennial
B = biennial
A = annual
- II. Second column — *Origin*
N = native (to North America)
I = introduced (to North America)
- III. Third column — *Season of Growth*
C = cool season (flowers during spring or early summer)
W = warm season (flowers during late summer or fall)
X = inappropriate
- IV. Fourth column — *Grazing Response to Cattle Use**
D = decreaser
I = increaser
V = invader
X = inappropriate

Grasses (Common Name)

	Longevity	Origin	Season	Grazing Response	
Alkali bluegrass	P	N	C	D	<i>Poa juncea</i>
Alkali cordgrass	P	N	W	D	<i>Spartina gracilis</i>
Alkali muhly	P	N	W	I	<i>Muhlenbergia asperifolia</i>
Alkali sacaton	P	N	W	D	<i>Sporobolus airoides</i>
Alpine bluegrass	P	N	C	D	<i>Poa alpina</i>
Alpine foxtail	P	N	C	D	<i>Lolopus alpinus</i>
Alpine timothy	P	N	C	D	<i>Phleum alpinum</i>
American manna grass	P	N	C	D	<i>Glyceria grandis</i>
American sloughgrass	A	N	C	V	<i>Beckmannia syzigachne</i>
Annual bluegrass	A	I	C	V	<i>Poa annua</i>
Barnyardgrass	A	I	W	V	<i>Echinochloa crusgalli</i>
Basin wildrye	P	N	C	D	<i>Elymus cinereus</i>
Bearded wheatgrass	P	N	C	D	<i>Agropyron subsecundum</i>
Beardless wheatgrass	P	N	C	D	<i>Agropyron spicatum</i> var. <i>inermis</i>
Big bluegrass	P	N	C	D	<i>Poa ampla</i>
Big bluestem	P	N	W	D	<i>Andropogon gerardii</i>
Bluebunch wheatgrass	P	N	C	D	<i>Agropyron spicatum</i>
Blue grama	P	N	W	I	<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>
Bluejoint reedgrass	P	N	C	D	<i>Calamagrostis canadensis</i>
Blue wildrye	P	N	C	D	<i>Elymus glaucus</i>
Bottlebrush squirreltail	P	N	C	I	<i>Sitanion hystrix</i>
Brookgrass	P	N	C	D	<i>Catabrosa aquatica</i>
Buffalograss	P	N	W	I	<i>Buchloe dactyloides</i>
Bulbous bluegrass	P	I	C	V	<i>Poa bulbosa</i>
California brome	A	N	C	V	<i>Bromus carinatus</i>
California danthonia	P	N	C	I	<i>Danthonia californica</i>
Canada bluegrass	P	I	C	V	<i>Poa compressa</i>
Canada wildrye	P	N	C	D	<i>Elymus canadensis</i>
Canarygrass	A	I	C	V	<i>Phalaris canariensis</i>
Canby bluegrass	P	N	C	D	<i>Poa canbyi</i>
Cheatgrass	A	I	C	V	<i>Bromus tectorum</i>
Chess brome	A	I	C	V	<i>Bromus secalinus</i>
Columbia needlegrass	P	N	C	D	<i>Stipa columbiana</i>
Common reedgrass	P	N	W	D	<i>Phragmites communis</i>
Crested wheatgrass	P	I	C	V	<i>Agropyron cristatum</i>
Cusick bluegrass	P	N	C	I	<i>Poa cusickii</i>
Drooping woodreed	P	N	C	I	<i>Cinna latifolia</i>
False buffalograss	A	N	W	V	<i>Munroa squarrosa</i>
Fendler threeawn	P	N	W	I	<i>Aristida fendleriana</i>
Fowl bluegrass	P	I	C	V	<i>Poa palustris</i>
Foxtail barley	P	N	C	I	<i>Hordeum jubatum</i>
Fringed brome	P	N	C	D	<i>Bromus ciliatus</i>
Green bristlegrass	A	I	W	V	<i>Setaria viridis</i>
Green needlegrass	P	N	C	D	<i>Stipa viridula</i>
Hairy brome	A	I	C	V	<i>Bromus commutatus</i>
Haril sheep fescue	P	I	C	V	<i>Festuca ovina</i> var. <i>duraseula</i>
Idaho fescue	P	N	C	I*	<i>Festuca idahoensis</i>
Idaho reedtop	P	N	C	D	<i>Igoritis idahoensis</i>

*It is important to realize that grazing responses of individual plants often change greatly with use by different classes of animals.

Indian ricegrass	P N C D	<i>Oryzopsis hymenoides</i>	Sand dropseed	P N W I	<i>Sporobolus cryptandrus</i>
Inland saltgrass	P N W I	<i>Distichlis stricta</i>	Scribner wheatgrass	P N C D	<i>Agropyron scribneri</i>
Intermediate wheatgrass	P I C V	<i>Agropyron intermedium</i>	Sheep fescue	P N C I	<i>Festuca ovina</i>
Italian ryegrass	P I C V	<i>Lolium multiflorum</i>	Shortawn foxtail	P N C D	<i>Hypochaeris aequalis</i>
Japanese brome	A I C V	<i>Bromus japonicus</i>	Sileneat grama	P N W D	<i>Houtelouia curtipendula</i>
Jointed goatgrass	A I C V	<i>Lolops cylindrica</i>	Sixweeks fescue	A N C V	<i>Vulpia setiflora</i>
Kentucky bluegrass	P I C V	<i>Poa pratensis</i>	Slender wheatgrass	P N C D	<i>Agropyron trachysculum</i> (<i>V. caninum</i>)
Letterman needlegrass	P N C D	<i>Stipa lettermanii</i>	Smooth brome	P I C V	<i>Bromus inermis</i>
Little bluestem	P N W D	<i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i>	Soft brome	A I C V	<i>Bromus mollis</i>
Little barley	A N C V	<i>Hordeum pusillum</i>	Spike bentgrass	P N C D	<i>Agrostis exarata</i>
Macoun wildrye	P N C D	<i>Elymus macounii</i>	Spikefescue	P N C D	<i>Hesperochloa kingii</i>
Marsh muhly	P N W I	<i>Muhlenbergia racemosa</i>	Spikeoat	P N C I	<i>Helictotrichon hookeri</i>
Mat muhly	P N W I	<i>Muhlenbergia richardsonii</i>	Spikecrisetum	P N C D	<i>Trisetum quantum</i>
Meadow barley	P N C I	<i>Hordeum brachyantherum</i>	Stinkgrass	A I W V	<i>Eragrostis ciluinensis</i>
Meadow fescue	P I C V	<i>Festuca elatior</i> (<i>F. pratensis</i>)	Streambank wheatgrass	P N C I	<i>Agropyron riparium</i>
Meadow foxtail	P I C V	<i>Alopecurus pratensis</i>	Sweetgrass	P N C D	<i>Hierochloa ulorata</i>
Mountain brome	P N C D	<i>Bromus marginatus</i> (<i>B. carinatus</i>)	Switchgrass	P N W D	<i>Panicum virgatum</i>
Mountain hairgrass	P N C D	<i>Deschampsia atropurpurea</i>	Thickspike wheatgrass	P N C I*	<i>Agropyron dasystachyum</i>
Mountain muhly	P N W D	<i>Muhlenbergia montana</i>	Ticklegrass	P N C I	<i>Agrostis scabra</i>
Muttongrass	P N C D	<i>Poa fendleriana</i>	Timber-lanthonia	P N C I	<i>Danthonia intermedia</i>
Needleandthread	P N C I	<i>Stipa comata</i>	Timothy	P I C V	<i>Phleum pratense</i>
Nevada bluegrass	P N C I	<i>Poa nevadensis</i>	Tufted hairgrass	P N C D	<i>Deschampsia caespitosa</i>
Nodding brome	P N C D	<i>Bromus anomalus</i>	Tumblegrass	P N W I	<i>Schizanthus paniculatus</i>
Nuttall alkaligrass	P N C D	<i>Puccinellia nuttalliana</i> (<i>P. airoides</i>)	Velvetgrass	P I C V	<i>Holcus lanatus</i>
Onespike danthonia	P N C I	<i>Danthonia unispicata</i>	Weeping alkaligrass	P I C V	<i>Puccinellia distans</i>
Oniongrass	P N C D	<i>Melica hultbosae</i>	Western needlegrass	P N C D	<i>Stipa occidentalis</i>
Orchardgrass	P I C V	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	Western wheatgrass	P N C I*	<i>Agropyron smithii</i>
Parry danthonia	P N C I	<i>Danthonia parryi</i>	Wild oat	A I C V	<i>Avena sativa</i>
Perennial ryegrass	P I C V	<i>Lolium perenne</i>	Williams needlegrass	P N C D	<i>Stipa williamsii</i>
Persian ryegrass	A I C V	<i>Lolium perenne</i>	Witchgrass	A N W V	<i>Panicum capillare</i>
Pine bluegrass	P N C I	<i>Poa scaberrima</i>	Yellow bristlegrass	A I W V	<i>Setaria lutescens</i>
Pinegrass	P N C I	<i>Calamagrostis rubescens</i>			
Plains bluegrass	P N C I	<i>Poa arida</i>			
Plains muhly	P N W D	<i>Muhlenbergia cuspidata</i>			
Plains reedgrass	P N C I	<i>Calamagrostis montanensis</i>			
Porcupinegrass	P N C D	<i>Stipa spartea</i>			
Poverty danthonia	P N C I	<i>Danthonia spicata</i>			
Prairie cordgrass	P N W D	<i>Spartina pectinata</i>			
Prairie junegrass	P N C I	<i>Koeleria cristata</i>			
Prairie sandreed	P N W D	<i>Calamovilfa longifolia</i>			
Pubescent wheatgrass	P I C V	<i>Agropyron trichophorum</i>			
Purple oniongrass	P N C D	<i>Melica spectabilis</i>			
Purple reedgrass	P N C D	<i>Calamagrostis purpurascens</i>			
Quackgrass	P I C V	<i>Agropyron repens</i>			
Rabbitfootgrass	A I C V	<i>Polypogon monspeliensis</i>			
Rattlesnake brome	A I C V	<i>Bromus brizaeformis</i>			
Red fescue	P I C V	<i>Festuca rubra</i>			
Red threeawn	P N W I	<i>Aristida longiseta</i>			
Redtop	P I C V	<i>Agrostis alba</i>			
Reed canarygrass	P N C D	<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>			
Richardson needlegrass	P N C D	<i>Stipa richardsonii</i>			
Rough fescue	P N C D	<i>Festuca scaberrima</i>			
Russian wildrye	P I C V	<i>Elymus junceus</i>			
Sandberg blugrass	P N C I	<i>Poa sandbergii</i>			
Sand bluestem	P N W D	<i>Andropogon hallii</i>			
Sandbur	A N W V	<i>Cenchrus longispinus</i>			

Grasses
(Scientific Name)

Longest
Origin
Season
C. Response

<i>Aegilops cylindrica</i>	A I C V	jointed goatgrass
<i>Agropyron cristatum</i> ¹	P I C I	crested wheatgrass
<i>A. dasystachyum</i> ²	P N C I*	thickspike wheatgrass
<i>A. intermedium</i>	P I C V	intermediate wheatgrass
<i>A. repens</i>	P I C V	quackgrass
<i>A. riparium</i>	P N C I	streambank wheatgrass
<i>A. scribneri</i>	P N C D	Scribner wheatgrass
<i>A. smithii</i>	P N C I*	western wheatgrass
<i>A. spicatum</i>	P N C D	bluebunch wheatgrass
<i>A. spicatum var. interm.</i>	P N C D	beardless wheatgrass
<i>A. subsecundum</i>	P N C D	bearded wheatgrass

¹ *Agropyron cristatum* complex includes: *A. desertorum*, *A. pennsylvanicum* and *A. sharonense*.
² *Agropyron dasystachyum* complex includes: *A. albanus*, *A. bakeri* and *A. griffithii*.
* Commonly encountered as a deceiver, but more often occurs as an invader.

<i>S. cuneata</i>	P N C I	needleandthread
<i>S. lettermanii</i>	P N C D	Letterman needlegrass
<i>S. occidentalis</i>	P N C D	western needlegrass
<i>S. richardsonii</i>	P N C D	Richardson needlegrass
<i>S. spartea</i>	P N C D	porcupinegrass
<i>S. viridula</i>	P N C D	green needlegrass
<i>S. williamsii</i>	P N C D	Williams needlegrass
<i>Trisetum spicatum</i>	P N C D	spike trisetum
<i>Vulpia octoflora</i>	A N C V	sixweeks fescue

Grasslike Plants (Common Name)

	Longevity Origin Season Gr. Response	
<i>Carex eleocharis</i> (<i>C. stenophylla</i>)	P N C I	needleleaf sedge
<i>Carex festuicella</i>	P N C D	ovalhead sedge
<i>Carex filifolia</i>	P N C I	threadleaf sedge
<i>Carex geveii</i>	P N C D	elk sedge
<i>Carex heliophila</i>	P N C I	sun sedge
<i>Carex nebraskensis</i>	P N C D	Nebraska sedge
<i>Carex pennsylvanica</i>	P N C I	yellow sedge
<i>Eleocharis</i> spp.	P N C I	spikesedges
<i>Juncus balticus</i>	P N C D	Baltic rush
<i>Luzula glaberrima</i>	P N C D	smooth woodrush

Grasslike Plants (Scientific Name)

	Longevity Origin Season Gr. Response	
Baltic rush	P N C D	<i>Juncus balticus</i>
Elk sedge	P N C D	<i>Carex geveii</i>
Nebraska sedge	P N C D	<i>Carex nebraskensis</i>
Needleleaf sedge	P N C I	<i>Carex eleocharis</i> (<i>C. stenophylla</i>)
Ovalhead sedge	P N C D	<i>Carex festuicella</i>
Smooth woodrush	P N C D	<i>Luzula glaberrima</i>
Spikesedges	P N C I	<i>Eleocharis</i> spp.
Sun sedge	P N C I	<i>Carex heliophila</i>
Threadleaf sedge	P N C I	<i>Carex filifolia</i>
Yellow sedge	P N C I	<i>Carex pennsylvanica</i>

Forbs, Ferns and Mosses (Common Name)

	Longevity Origin Season Gr. Response	
Alfalfa	P I C V	<i>Medicago sativa</i>
Alkaline bladderpod	P N C I	<i>Lesquerella alpina</i>
Alpine bluebell	P N C I	<i>Menyanthes alpina</i>
Alpine dustymaiden	P N C I	<i>Chaenactis alpina</i>
Alpine forgetmenot	P N C I	<i>Eritrichium elongatum</i> (<i>E. nimium</i>)
Alumroot	P N C I	<i>Heuchera richardsonii</i>
American bistort	P N C I	<i>Polygonum bistortoides</i>
American licorice	P N W I	<i>Glycyrrhiza lepidota</i>
American vetch	P N C D	<i>Vicia americana</i>
Andersons larkspur	P N C I	<i>Delphinium andersonii</i>
Annual eriogonum	A N W V	<i>Eriogonum annuum</i>
Annual sunflower	A N W V	<i>Helianthus annuus</i>
Aromatic aster	P N W I	<i>Aster oblongifolius</i>
Arrowleaf balsamroot	P N C I	<i>Balsamorhiza sagittata</i>
Ballhead gilia	P N C I	<i>Gilia congesta</i>
Ballhead sandwort	P N C I	<i>Arenaria congesta</i>
Bastard toadflax	P N C I	<i>Comandra pallida</i> (<i>C. umbellata</i>)
Beargrass	P N C I	<i>Xerophyllum tenax</i>
Bessey pointvetch	P N C I	<i>Oxytropis besseyi</i>
Bigbract verbena	P N W I	<i>Verbena bracteata</i>
Biscuitroot	P N C I	<i>Lomatium foeniculaceum</i>
Bitterroot	P N C I	<i>Lewisia rediviva</i>
Black medic	A I C V	<i>Medicago lupulina</i>
Black mustard	A I C V	<i>Brassica nigra</i>
Blacksampson	P N W D	<i>Echinacea angustifolia</i>
Blankeiflower	P N W I	<i>Gaillardia aristata</i>
Blue-eyed grass	P N C I	<i>Sisyrinchium angustifolium</i>
Blue-eyed Mary	A N C V	<i>Collinsia parviflora</i>
Blue flax	P N C I	<i>Linum lewisii</i>
Blue lettuce	P N W I	<i>Lactuca pulchella</i>
Blue mustard	A I C V	<i>Chorispora tenella</i>
Brackenfern	P N X I	<i>Pteridium aquilinum</i>
Breadroot scurfspea	P N C D	<i>Psoralea esculenta</i>
Broadfruit mariposa	P N C D	<i>Calochortus nitidus</i>
Broadleaf arnica	P N C I	<i>Arnica latifolia</i>
Browns larkspur	P N C I	<i>Delphinium brownii</i>
Bulb waterhemlock	P N W I	<i>Cicuta bulbifera</i>
Bull thistle	B I W V	<i>Cirsium vulgare</i>
Burclover	A I C V	<i>Medicago hispida</i>
Burdock	B I W V	<i>Arctium minus</i>
Burkes larkspur	P N C I	<i>Delphinium burkei</i>
Butter and eggs	P I W V	<i>Linaria vulgaris</i>
California falsehellebore	P N C I	<i>Veratrum californicum</i>
Camass	P N C I	<i>Camassia quamash</i>
Canada thistle	P I C V	<i>Cirsium arvense</i>
Canada violet	P N C I	<i>Viola canadensis</i>
Carolina draba	A N C V	<i>Draba reptans</i>
Cattail	P N C I	<i>Typha latifolia</i>

Charlock mustard	A I C V	<i>Brassica kaber</i>	Foothill deathcamas	P N C I	<i>Zygadenus paniculatus</i>
Chickweed	A I C V	<i>Stellaria media</i>	Forgetmenot	P N C I	<i>Myosotis alpestris</i>
Clasping pepperweed	A I C V	<i>Lepidium perfoliatum</i>			(<i>M. sylvaticum</i>)
Clustered broomrape	P N C I	<i>Orobancha fasciculata</i>	Fuzzytongue penstemon	P N C I	<i>Penstemon eriantherus</i>
Cocklebur	A N W V	<i>Xanthium strumarium</i>	Cover larkspur	P N C I	<i>Delphinium consolida</i>
Columbia monkshood	P N C I	<i>Aconitum columbianum</i>	Glacier lily	P N C I	<i>Erythronium grandiflorum</i>
Common eveningprimrose	B N C V	<i>Oenothera biennis</i>	Gland cinquefoil	P N C I	<i>Patentilla glandulosa</i>
Common horsetail	P N X I	<i>Equisetum arvense</i>	Glaucus larkspur	P N C I	<i>Delphinium glaucescens</i>
Common milkweed	P N W I	<i>Asclepias syriaca</i>	Goatweed	P I C V	<i>Hypericum perforatum</i>
Common sainfoin	P I C V	<i>Onobrychis viciifolia</i>	Goldenweed	P N C I	<i>Machaeranthera griseola</i>
Common spiderwort	P N C D	<i>Tradescantia bracteata</i>	Gordon ivesia	P N C I	<i>Ivesia gordonii</i>
Common starily	P N C I	<i>Leucocroton montanum</i>	Green falsehellebore	P N C I	<i>Veratrum viride</i>
Common tansy	P I W V	<i>Tanacetum vulgare</i>	Green gentian	B N C V	<i>Fraxinea speciosa</i>
Cow parsnip	P N C D	<i>Hieracium lanatum</i>	Green milkweed	P N W I	<i>Asclepias viridiflora</i>
Creeping silene	P N C I	<i>Silene repens</i>	Green sagewort	P N W I	<i>Artemisia dracunculifolia</i>
Creeping white prairie aster	P N W I	<i>Aster falcatus</i>	Groundcherry	P N W I	<i>Physalis longifolia</i>
Cutweed sagewort	P N W I	<i>Artemisia ludoviciana</i>	Groundplum milkvetch	P N C D	<i>Astragalus crassicaepus</i>
Curlycup gumweed	B N W V	<i>Grindelia squarrosa</i>	Hairy goldenaster	P N W I	<i>Heterotheca villosa</i>
Curly dock	P I C V	<i>Rumex crispus</i>	Halogeton	A I W V	<i>Halogeton glomeratus</i>
Cutleaf balsamroot	P N C I	<i>Balsamorhiza macrophylla</i>	Heartleaf arnica	P N C I	<i>Arnica cordifolia</i>
Cutleaf coneflower	P N W I	<i>Rudbeckia laciniata</i>	Hemlock waterparsnip	P N W I	<i>Sium suave</i>
Cutleaf nightshade	A N W V	<i>Solanum triflorum</i>	Hemp dogbane	P N W I	<i>Apocynum cannabinum</i>
Dalmatian toadflax	P I W V	<i>Linaria dalmatica</i>	Henbane	B I C V	<i>Hyoscyamus niger</i>
Dandelion (common)	P I C V	<i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	Hoary aster	B N W V	<i>Machaeranthera canescens</i>
Dense clubmoss	P N X I	<i>Selaginella densa</i>	Hoary balsamroot	P N C I	<i>Balsamorhiza incana</i>
Desert alyssum	A N C V	<i>Alyssum desertorum</i>	Holboell rock-rose	B N C V	<i>Arabis holboellii</i>
Desert princeplume	P N C I	<i>Stanleya pinnata</i>	Hood phlox	P N C I	<i>Phlox hoodii</i>
Desert wirelettuce	P N W I	<i>Stephanomeria runcinata</i>	Hook violet	P N C I	<i>Viola adnata</i>
Dotted gayfeather	P N W D	<i>Liatris punctata</i>	Hooker fairybell	P N C I	<i>Disporum hookeri</i>
Douglas waterhemlock	P N W I	<i>Cicuta douglasii</i>	Hooker sandwort	P N C I	<i>Arenaria hookeri</i>
Downy Indianpaintbrush	P N C I	<i>Castilleja sessiliflora</i>	Horsemint	P N C I	<i>Monarda fistulosa</i>
Drummond milkvetch	P N C I	<i>Astragalus drummondii</i>	Horseweed	A N W V	<i>Conyza canadensis</i>
Dustymaiden	B N C V	<i>Chaenactis douglasii</i>	Houndstongue	B I C V	<i>Cynoglossum officinale</i>
Dwarf nettle	A I C V	<i>Urtica urens</i>	India mustard	A I C V	<i>Brassica juncea</i>
Eastern lomatium	P N C I	<i>Lomatium orientale</i>	Jimsonweed	A N W V	<i>Datura stramonium</i>
Elk thistle	P N C I	<i>Cirsium foliosum</i>	Lambsquarters goosefoot	A I W V	<i>Chenopodium album</i>
		(<i>C. scariosum</i>)	Lambstongue groundsel	P N C I	<i>Senecio integerrimus</i>
Elephanthead	P N C I	<i>Pedicularis groenlandica</i>	Lanceleaf springbeauty	P N C I	<i>Claytonia lanceolata</i>
Engelmann aster	P N W I	<i>Aster engelmannii</i>	Lanceleaved sage	A N W V	<i>Salvia reflexa</i>
Fairyslipper	P N C I	<i>Calypso bulbosa</i>	Leafy spurge	P I C V	<i>Euphorbia esula</i>
False pennyroyal	P N C I	<i>Hedroma drummondii</i>	Leopard lily	P N C D	<i>Fritillaria atropurpurea</i>
False prairie boneset	P N W D	<i>Kuhnia eupatorioides</i>	Lewisia	P N C I	<i>Lewisia pygmaea</i>
False solomonseal	P N C I	<i>Smilacina racemosa</i>	Lily of the valley	P N C I	<i>Smilacina stellata</i>
Fanweed	A I C V	<i>Thlaspi arvense</i>	Littleflower penstemon	P N C I	<i>Penstemon procerus</i>
Fernleaf lousewort	P N C I	<i>Pedicularis cypripetridifolia</i>	Littlepod falseflax	A I C V	<i>Camelina microcarpa</i>
Few flowered buckwheat	P N W I	<i>Eriogonum pauciflorum</i>	Longleaf phlox	P N C I	<i>Phlox longifolia</i>
		(<i>E. multiceps</i>)	Longstalk clover	P N C D	<i>Trifolium longipes</i>
Field bindweed	P I W V	<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	Low fleabane	P N C I	<i>Erigeron pumilus</i>
Field chickweed	P N C I	<i>Cerastium arvense</i>	Low larkspur	P N C I	<i>Delphinium bicolor</i>
Field fluffweed	A I W V	<i>Filago arvensis</i>	Manyflowered aster	P N W I	<i>Aster eriantherus</i>
Field mint	P N W V	<i>Mentha arvensis</i>	Marsh arrowgrass	P N C I	<i>Triglochin palustris</i>
Field sagewort	P N W I	<i>Artemisia campestris</i>	Marshelder sumpweed	A N W V	<i>Iva xanthifolia</i>
		(<i>A. canadensis</i>)	Marsh horsetail	P N S I	<i>Equisetum palustre</i>
Field sowthistle	P I W V	<i>Sonchus arvensis</i>	Maximilians sunflower	P N W D	<i>Helianthus maximiliani</i>
Filaree	A I C V	<i>Erodium cicutarium</i>	Meadow deathcamas	P N C I	<i>Zygadenus venenosus</i>
Fineleaf hymenopappus	P N C I	<i>Hymenopappus filifolius</i>			(<i>Z. intermedius</i>)
Fireweed	P N C I	<i>Epilobium angustifolium</i>	Minerscandle	B N C V	<i>Cryptantha heathbriana</i>
Fivepetal blazingstar	B N W V	<i>Mentzelia laevicaulis</i>			(<i>C. celosoides</i>)
Flannel mullein	B I W V	<i>Verbascum thapsus</i>	Missouri goldenrod	P N W I	<i>Solidago missouriensis</i>

Missouri milkvetch	P N C I	<i>Astragalus missouriensis</i>	Pricklypoppy	A N W V	<i>Argemone polyanthemus</i>
Moss silene	P N C I	<i>Silene acaulis</i>	Purple coneflower	P N W D	<i>Echinacea pallida</i>
Mountain bluebell	P N C I	<i>Mertensia ciliata</i>	Purple pointloam	P N C I	<i>Oxytropis lamberti</i>
Mountain deathcamas	P N C I	<i>Zygadenus elegans</i>	Purple prairieclover	P N W D	<i>Petalostemon purpureum</i>
Mountain gentian	P N W I	<i>Gentiana calycosa</i>	Pursh loco	P N C I	<i>Astragalus purshii</i>
Mountain hollyhock	P N C I	<i>Hibiscus rivularis</i>	Pursh seepweed	A N W V	<i>Suaeda depressa</i>
Mountain lady's slipper	P N C I	<i>Cypripedium montanum</i>	Queencup beallii	P N C I	<i>Clintonia uniflora</i>
Mountain sweetroot	P N C I	<i>Osmorhiza chilensis</i>	Red glasswort	A N W V	<i>Salicornia rubra</i>
Mountain thermopsis	P N C I	<i>Thermopsis montana</i>	Red kittentail	P N C I	<i>Besseyia rubra</i>
Mulescar wyethia	P N C I	<i>Wyethia amplexicaulis</i>	Red monkeyflower	P N W I	<i>Mimulus lewisii</i>
Musk thistle	B I C V	<i>Carduus nutans</i>	Redroot pigweed	A I W V	<i>Amaranthus retroflexus</i>
Narrowleaf gromwell	P N C I	<i>Lithospermum incisum</i>	Richardson geranium	P N C D	<i>Geranium richardsonii</i>
Narrowleaf poisonvetch	P N C I	<i>Astragalus pectinatus</i>	Ridge seed spurge	A N C V	<i>Euphorbia glyptosperma</i>
Narrowleaved four-o'clock	P N C I	<i>Mirabilis linearis</i>	Rocket larkspur	A I C V	<i>Delphinium ajacis</i>
Narrowleaf Indian paintbrush	P N C I	<i>Castilleja angustifolia</i>	Rocky Mountain beeplant	A N W V	<i>Cleome serrulata</i>
Nelsons larkspur	P N C I	<i>Delphinium nelsonii</i> (<i>D. nuttallianum</i>)	Rocky Mountain gayfeather	P N W D	<i>Lantana ligulistylus</i>
Nettleleaf giant hyssop	P N C I	<i>Agastache urticifolia</i>	Rocky Mountain iris	P N C I	<i>Iris missouriensis</i>
Nineleaf lomatium	P N C I	<i>Lomatium triternatum</i>	Rose pussytoes	P N C I	<i>Antennaria rosea</i>
Nodding onion	P N C I	<i>Allium cernuum</i>	Rough pennyroyal	A N C V	<i>Hedeoma hispida</i>
Northern bedstraw	P N W I	<i>Galium boreale</i>	Roundleaf harebell	P N C I	<i>Campanula rotundifolia</i>
Northern blue violet	P N C I	<i>Viola septentrionalis</i>	Rush skeletonweed	P N W I	<i>Lygodesmia juncea</i>
Northern sweetvetch	P N C D	<i>Hedysarum boreale</i>	Russian knapweed	P I W V	<i>Centaurea repens</i>
Northwest cinquefoil	P N C I	<i>Potentilla gracilis</i>	Russian thistle	A I W V	<i>Salsola kali</i>
Northwestern mariposa	P N C D	<i>Calochortus elegans</i>	Rusty lupine	A N C V	<i>Lupinus pusillus</i>
Nuttall evening primrose	P N C I	<i>Oenothera nuttallii</i>	Sagebrush buttercup	P N C I	<i>Ranunculus glaberrimus</i>
Nuttall evolvulus	P N W I	<i>Evolvulus nuttallianus</i> (<i>E. pilosus</i>)	Sagebrush mariposa	P N C D	<i>Calochortus macrocarpus</i>
Nuttall violet	P N C I	<i>Viola nuttallii</i>	Salsify	B I C V	<i>Tragopogon dubius</i>
Oakleaf goosefoot	A I W V	<i>Chenopodium glaucum</i>	Scarlet gaura	P N W I	<i>Gaura coccinea</i>
Oblongleaf bluebell	P N C I	<i>Mertensia oblongifolia</i>	Scarlet gilia	B N C V	<i>Gilia aggregata</i>
Orange arnica	P N C I	<i>Arnica fulgens</i>	Scarlet globemallow	P N C I	<i>Sphaeralcea coccinea</i>
Pacific lupine	P N C I	<i>Lupinus lepidus</i>	Seaside arrowgrass	P N C I	<i>Triglochin maritima</i>
Pacific trillium	P N C I	<i>Trillium ovatum</i>	Segolily mariposa	P N C D	<i>Calochortus nuttallii</i>
Pale agoseris	P N C D	<i>Agoseris glauca</i>	Sheep sorrel	P I C V	<i>Rumex acetosella</i>
Pale alyssum	A N C V	<i>Alyssum alyssoides</i>	Shooting star	P N C I	<i>Dodecatheon pauciflorum</i>
Parry townsendia	P N C I	<i>Townsendia parryi</i>	Showy aster	P N W I	<i>Aster conspicuus</i>
Pasqueflower	P N C I	<i>Anemone patens</i>	Showy milkweed	P N W I	<i>Asclepias speciosa</i>
Pearly everlasting	P N C I	<i>Anaphalis margaritacea</i>	Shrubby evening primrose	P N C I	<i>Oenothera serrulata</i>
Pepperweed whitetop	P I C V	<i>Cardaria draba</i>	Silky lupine	P N C I	<i>Lupinus sericeus</i>
Pink microsteris	A N C V	<i>Microsteris gracilis</i>	Silverleaf scurfspea	P N W I	<i>Psoralea argophylla</i>
Pink pyrola	P N C I	<i>Pyrola asarifolia</i>	Silverweed cinquefoil	P N C I	<i>Potentilla anserina</i>
Pinnate tansymustard	A N C V	<i>Descurainia pinnata</i>	Silvery lupine	P N C I	<i>Lupinus argenteus</i>
Pinkie hymenoxys	P N W I	<i>Hymenoxys richardsonii</i>	Slenderleaf collomia	A N C V	<i>Collomia linearis</i>
Plains bahia	P N C I	<i>Bahia oppositifolia</i>	Slimflower scurfspea	P N W I	<i>Psoralea tenuiflora</i>
Plains milkweed	P N W I	<i>Asclepias pumila</i>	Slimleaf goosefoot	A N W V	<i>Chenopodium leptophyllum</i>
Pot-on hemlock	B I W V	<i>Conium maculatum</i>	Slim larkspur	P N C I	<i>Delphinium depauperatum</i>
Polypody	P N S I	<i>Polypodium hesperium</i>	Small-leaf pussytoes	P N C I	<i>Antennaria parviflora</i>
Poverty sumpweed	P N W I	<i>Iva axillaris</i>	Smooth aster	P N W I	<i>Aster laevis</i>
Prairie coneflower	P N W I	<i>Ratibola columnifera</i>	Smooth yellow violet	P N C I	<i>Viola glabella</i>
Prairie groundsel	P N C I	<i>Senecio plattensis</i>	Sneezeweed	P N W I	<i>Helenium autumnale</i>
Prairie onion	P N C I	<i>Allium textile</i>	Snow-on-the-mountain	A N W V	<i>Euphorbia marginata</i>
Prairie pepperweed	A N C V	<i>Lepidium densiflorum</i>	Spearmint	P I W V	<i>Mentha spicata</i>
Prairie smoke	P N C I	<i>Grum triflorum</i>	Spear saltbush	A N W V	<i>Atriplex patula</i>
Prairie sunflower	A N W V	<i>Helianthus petiolaris</i>	Speckled loco	P N C I	<i>Astragalus lentiginosus</i>
Prairie thermopsis	P N C I	<i>Thermopsis rhombifolia</i>	Spiny cocklebur	A I W V	<i>Xanthium spinosum</i>
Prickly lettuce	B I W V	<i>Lactuca scariola</i> (<i>L. scariola</i>)	Spiny goldenweed	P N W I	<i>Happelopappus spinulosus</i>
Pricklypoppy	P N W I	<i>Argemone intermedia</i>	Spotted knapweed	B I W V	<i>Centaurea maculosa</i>
			Spreading dogbane	P N W I	<i>Apocynum androsaemifolium</i>
			Spreading fleabane	B N C V	<i>Erigeron divergens</i>
			Spur lupine	P N C I	<i>Lupinus latifolius</i>

Starflower	P N C I	<i>Lithophragma parviflorum</i>
Steer-head	P N C I	<i>Dicentra uniflora</i>
Stemless hymenoxys	P N C I	<i>Hymenoxys acaulis</i>
Stemless nailwort	P N C I	<i>Paronychia sessiliflora</i>
Sticky geranium	P N C D	<i>Geranium viscosissimum</i>
Stiff goldenrod	P N W I	<i>Solidago rigida</i>
Stiff sunflower	P N W D	<i>Helianthus rigidus</i>
Stiff-stem flax	A N C V	<i>Linum rigidum</i>
Stinging nettle	P I C V	<i>Urtica dioica</i>
Stoneseed	P N C I	<i>Lithospermum rutale</i>
Sugarbowl	P N C I	<i>Clematis hirsutissima</i>
Suksdorf- broomrape	P N C I	<i>Orbanche ludoviciana</i>
Sulfur eriogonum	P N C I	<i>Eriogonum umbellatum</i>
Sulfur lupine	P N C I	<i>Lupinus sulphureus</i>
Summer cypress	A I W V	<i>Kochia scoparia</i>
Sweet-scented bedstraw	P N W I	<i>Galium triflorum</i>
Tasman lupine	P N C I	<i>Lupinus caudatus</i>
Tall larkspur	P N C I	<i>Delphinium occidentale</i>
Tapertip hawkbeard	P N C I	<i>Crepis acuminata</i>
Tenpetal blazingstar	B N W V	<i>Mentzelia decapetala</i>
Thickleaf groundsel	P N W I	<i>Senecio crassulus</i>
Thinleaved owllover	A N C V	<i>Orthocarpus tenuifolius</i>
Threadleaf phacelia	A N C V	<i>Phacelia linearis</i>
Threeleaved milkvetch	P N C I	<i>Astragalus gilliflorus</i>
Timber milkvetch	P N C I	<i>Astragalus miser</i>
Toothed microseris	P N C I	<i>Microseris cuspidata</i>
Tuberous sweetpea	P N C D	<i>Lathyrus tuberosus</i>
Tufted eveningprimrose	P N C I	<i>Oenothera caespitosa</i>
Tufted milkvetch	P N C I	<i>Astragalus spatulatus</i>
Tumble mustard	A I C V	<i>Sisymbrium altissimum</i>
Tumbleweed pigweed	A N W V	<i>Amaranthus graecizans</i>
Twin arnica	P N C I	<i>Arnica sororia</i>
Twinleaf bedstraw	A N C V	<i>Galium bifolium</i>
Two-grooved milkvetch	P N C I	<i>Astragalus bisulcatus</i>
Umbrella buckwheat	P N C I	<i>Eriogonum heracleoides</i>
Velvet lupine	P N C I	<i>Lupinus leucophyllus</i>
Velvety goldenrod	P N W I	<i>Solidago mollis</i>
Virginia strawberry	P N C I	<i>Fragaria virginiana</i>
Wartberry fairybell	P N C I	<i>Disporum trachycarpum</i>
Washington lupine	P N C I	<i>Lupinus polyphyllus</i>
Waterleaf	P N C I	<i>Hydrophyllum capitatum</i>
Wavyleaf thistle	B N W V	<i>Cirsium undulatum</i>
Waxleaf penstemon	P N C I	<i>Penstemon nitidus</i>
Western coneflower	P N C I	<i>Rudbeckia occidentalis</i>
Western goldenrod	P N W I	<i>Solidago occidentalis</i>
Western meadow aster	P N W I	<i>Aster campestris</i>
Western meadowrue	P N C I	<i>Thalictrum occidentale</i>
Western ragweed	P N W I	<i>Ambrosia psilostachya</i>
Western rockjasmine	A N C V	<i>Androsace occidentalis</i>
Western roundleaved violet	P N C I	<i>Viola orbiculata</i>
Western stickseed	A N C V	<i>Lappula redowskii</i>
Western wallflower	B N C V	<i>Erysimum asperum</i>
Western yarrow	P N W I	<i>Achillea lanulosa</i> (<i>A. millefolium</i>)
White hawkweed	P N W D	<i>Hieracium albidiflorum</i>
White milkwort	P N C I	<i>Polygala alba</i>
White mustard	A I C V	<i>Brassica hirta</i>
White penstemon	P N C I	<i>Penstemon albidus</i>
White phlox	P N C I	<i>Phlox multiflora</i>

White pointluc	P N C I	<i>Oxytropis sericea</i>
White prairieclover	P N W D	<i>Psoralea canadensis</i>
Whitestem eveningprimrose	A N C V	<i>Oenothera albicaulis</i>
White sweetclover	B I C V	<i>Melilotus alba</i>
White wild sweetpea	P N C D	<i>Lathyrus ochroleucus</i>
White wyethia	P N C I	<i>Wyethia helianthoides</i>
Whorled milkweed	P N W I	<i>Asclepias verticillata</i>
Wild hyacinth	P N C D	<i>Brodiaea Douglasii</i>
Wild parsley	P N C I	<i>Musineon divaricatum</i>
Woodland pinedrops	P N C I	<i>Pterospora andromedea</i>
Woodland sage	P I W V	<i>Salvia sylvestris</i>
Woodland strawberry	P N C I	<i>Fragaria vesca</i>
Wood lily	P N C D	<i>Lilium philadelphicum</i>
Woolly eriophyllum	P N C I	<i>Eriophyllum lanatum</i>
Woolly groundsel	P N C I	<i>Senecio canus</i>
Woolly plantain	A N C V	<i>Plantago patagonica</i> (<i>P. purshii</i>)
Wyeth lupine	P N C I	<i>Lupinus wyethii</i>
Wyoming Indianpaintbrush	P N C I	<i>Castilleja linariaefolia</i>
Yampa	P N W I	<i>Perideridia gairdneri</i>
Yellow beeplant	A N W V	<i>Cleome lutea</i>
Yellowbell	P N C I	<i>Fritillaria pudica</i>
Yellow buckwheat	P N C I	<i>Eriogonum flavum</i>
Yellow Indianpaintbrush	P N C I	<i>Castilleja flava</i>
Yellow monkeyflower	P N W I	<i>Mimulus guttatus</i>
Yellow owllover	A N C V	<i>Orthocarpus luteus</i>
Yellow skunkcabbage	P N C I	<i>Lysichiton americanum</i>
Yellow starthistle	A I W V	<i>Centaurea solstitialis</i>
Yellow stonecrop	P N C I	<i>Sedum stenopetalum</i>
Yellow sweetclover	B I C V	<i>Melilotus officinalis</i>

Forbs, Ferns and Mosses (Scientific Name)

Longevity (Origin Season Gr. Response)	
P N W I	western yarrow
P N C I	Columbia monkshood
P N C I	nettleleaf giant hyssop
P N C D	pale agoseris
P N C I	nodding onion
P N C I	prairie onion
A N C V	pale alyssum
A N C V	desert alyssum
A N W V	tumbleweed pigweed
A I W V	redroot pigweed
P N W I	western ragweed
	<i>Achillea lanulosa</i> (<i>A. millefolium</i>)
	<i>Aconitum columbianum</i>
	<i>Agastache urticifolia</i>
	<i>Agoseris glauca</i>
	<i>Allium cernuum</i>
	<i>A. textile</i>
	<i>Alyssum alyssoides</i>
	<i>A. desertorum</i>
	<i>Amaranthus graecizans</i>
	<i>A. retroflexus</i>
	<i>Ambrosia psilostachya</i>

<i>Dodecatheon pauciflorum</i>	P N C I	shooting star	<i>Hydrophyllum capitatum</i>	P N C I	waterleaf
<i>Draba reptans</i>	A N C V	Carolina draba	<i>Hymenopappus filifolius</i>	P N C I	lineleaf hymenopappus
<i>Echinacea angustifolia</i>	P N W D	black Sampson	<i>Hymenoxys acaulis</i>	P N C I	stemless hymenoxys
<i>E. pallida</i>	P N W D	purple coneflower	<i>H. richardsonii</i>	P N W I	pinque hymenoxys
<i>Epilobium angustifolium</i>	P N C I	fireweed	<i>Hyoscyamus niger</i>	B I C V	henbane
<i>Erigeron arvensis</i>	P N X I	common horsetail	<i>Hypericum perforatum</i>	P I C V	goatweed
<i>E. pulstris</i>	P N X I	marsh horsetail	<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	P N C I	mountain hollyhock
<i>Erigeron divergens</i>	B N C V	spreading fleabane	<i>Ilex missouriensis</i>	P N C I	Rocky Mountain iris
<i>E. pumilus</i>	P N C I	low fleabane	<i>Ilex verticillata</i>	P N W I	poverty sumpweed
<i>Eriogonum annuum</i>	A N W V	annual eriogonum	<i>I. xanthifolia</i>	A N W V	marshelder sumpweed
<i>E. flavum</i>	P N C I	yellow buckwheat	<i>Iris sordida</i>	P N C I	Gordon ivesia
<i>E. heterocladus</i>	P N C I	umbrella buckwheat	<i>Kochia scoparia</i>	A I W V	summer cypress
<i>E. pauciflorum</i>	P N W I	few-flowered buckwheat	<i>Kuhnia eupatorioides</i>	P N W D	false prairie boneset
<i>(E. multiceps)</i>			<i>Lactuca pulchella</i>	P N W I	blue lettuce
<i>E. umbellatum</i>	P N C I	sulfur eriogonum	<i>L. scariola</i>	B I W V	prickly lettuce
<i>Eriophyllum lanatum</i>	P N C I	woolly eriophyllum			
<i>Eritrichium elongatum</i>	P N C I	alpine forgetmenot	<i>Lappula redowskii</i>	A N C V	western sticksreed
<i>(E. numm.)</i>			<i>Lathyrus ochroleucus</i>	P N C D	white wild sweetpea
<i>Erodium cicutarium</i>	A I C V	stirax	<i>L. tuberosus</i>	P N C D	tuberous sweetpea
<i>Erysimum asperum</i>	B N C V	western wallflower	<i>Lepidium densiflorum</i>	A N C V	prairie pepperweed
<i>Erythronium grandiflorum</i>	P N C I	glacier lily	<i>L. perfoliatum</i>	A I C V	clasping pepperweed
<i>Euphorbia esula</i>	P I C V	leafy spurge	<i>Lesquerella alpina</i>	P N C I	alkaline bladderpod
<i>E. glyptosperma</i>	A N C V	ridgeseed spurge	<i>Leucocrinum montanum</i>	P N C I	common starlily
<i>E. marginata</i>	A N W V	snow-on-the-mountain	<i>Lewisia pygmaea</i>	P N C I	lewisia
<i>Evolvulus nuttallianus</i>	P N W I	Nuttall's evolvulus	<i>L. rediviva</i>	P N C I	bitterroot
<i>(E. pilosus)</i>			<i>Liatris ligulistylis</i>	P N W D	Rocky Mountain gayfeather
<i>Filago arvensis</i>	A I W V	field fluffweed	<i>L. punctata</i>	P N W D	dotted gayfeather
<i>Fragaria vesca</i>	P N C I	woodland strawberry	<i>Lilium philadelphicum</i>	P N C D	wood lily
<i>F. virginiana</i>	P N C I	Virginia strawberry	<i>Linaria dalmatica</i>	P I W V	dalmatian toadflax
<i>Frasera speciosa</i>	B N C V	green gentian	<i>L. vulgaris</i>	P I W V	butter and eggs
<i>Fritillaria atropurpurea</i>	P N C D	leopard lily	<i>Linum lewisii</i>	P N C I	blue flax
<i>F. pudica</i>	P N C I	yellowbell	<i>L. rigidum</i>	A N C V	stiffstem flax
<i>Gaillardia aristata</i>	P N W I	blanketflower	<i>Lithophragma parviflorum</i>	P N C I	starflower
<i>Galium bifolium</i>	A N C V	twainleaf bedstraw	<i>Lithospermum incisum</i>	P N C I	narrowleaf gromwell
<i>G. boreale</i>	P N W I	northern bedstraw	<i>L. ruderalis</i>	P N C I	stoneseed
<i>G. triflorum</i>	P N W I	sweet-scented bedstraw	<i>Lomatium foeniculaceum</i>	P N C I	biscuitroot
<i>Gaura coccinea</i>	P N W I	scarlet gaura	<i>L. orientale</i>	P N C I	eastern lomatium
<i>Gentiana calycosa</i>	P N W I	mountain gentian	<i>L. triternatum</i>	P N C I	nineleaf lomatium
<i>Geranium richardsonii</i>	P N C D	Richardson geranium	<i>Lupinus argenteus</i>	P N C I	silvery lupine
<i>G. viscosissimum</i>	P N C D	sticky geranium	<i>L. caudatus</i>	P N C I	tailcup lupine
<i>Grum triflorum</i>	P N C I	prairiesmoke	<i>L. laxiflorus</i>	P N C I	spur lupine
<i>Gilia aggregata</i>	B N C V	scarlet gilia	<i>L. lepidus</i>	P N C I	Pacific lupine
<i>G. congesta</i>	P N C I	ballhead gilia	<i>L. polyphyllus</i>	P N C I	velvet lupine
<i>Glycyrrhiza lepidota</i>	P N W I	American licorice	<i>L. leucophyllus</i>	P N C I	velvet lupine
<i>Grindelia squarrosa</i>	B N W V	curlycup gumweed	<i>L. polyphyllus</i>	P N C I	Washington lupine
<i>Halogelton glomeratus</i>	A I W V	halogelton	<i>L. pusillus</i>	A N C V	rusty lupine
<i>Haplopappus spinulosus</i>	P N W I	spiny goldenweed	<i>L. sericeus</i>	P N C I	silky lupine
<i>Hedysarum drummondii</i>	P N C I	false pennyroyal	<i>L. sulphureus</i>	P N C I	sulfur lupine
<i>H. hispidum</i>	A N C V	Rough pennyroyal	<i>L. wrightii</i>	P N C I	Wyeth lupine
<i>Hedysarum boreale</i>	P N C D	northern sweetvetch	<i>Lygodesmia juncea</i>	P N W I	rush skeletonweed
<i>Helianthus autumnalis</i>	P N W I	sneezeweed	<i>Lysichiton americanum</i>	P N C I	yellow skunkcabbage
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	A N W V	annual sunflower	<i>Machaeranthera canescens</i>	B N W V	hoary aster
<i>H. maximiliani</i>	P N W D	Maximilian's sunflower	<i>M. griseoides</i>	P N C I	goldenweed
<i>H. petiolaris</i>	A N W V	prairie sunflower	<i>Medicago hispida</i>	A I C V	burclover
<i>H. rigidus</i>	P N W D	stiff sunflower	<i>M. lupulina</i>	A I C V	black medic
<i>Herniaria lanatum</i>	P N C D	cow parsnip	<i>M. sativa</i>	P I C V	alfalfa
<i>Heterotheca villosa</i>	P N W I	hairy goldenaster	<i>Melilotus alba</i>	B I C V	white sweetclover
<i>Hieracium richardsonii</i>	P N C I	alumroot	<i>M. officinalis</i>	B I C V	yellow sweetclover
<i>Hieracium albidiflorum</i>	P N W D	white hawkweed	<i>Mentha arvensis</i>	P N W V	field mint

<i>M. spirata</i>	P I W V	spearmint	<i>Rudbeckia laciniata</i>	P N W I	cutleaf coneflower
<i>Montzelia decapetala</i>	B N W V	tenpetal blazingstar	<i>R. occidentalis</i>	P N C I	western coneflower
<i>M. laevicaulis</i>	B N W V	fivepetal blazingstar	<i>Rumex acetosella</i>	P I C V	sheep sorrel
<i>Monarda alpina</i>	P N C I	alpine bluebell	<i>R. crispus</i>	P I C V	curly dock
<i>M. villosa</i>	P N C I	mountain bluebell	<i>Salicornia rubra</i>	A N W V	red glasswort
<i>M. oblongifolia</i>	P N C I	oblongleaf bluebell	<i>Salicaria ibetica</i>	A I W V	Russian thistle
<i>Microseris cuspidata</i>	P N C I	toothed microseris	<i>Salvia reflexa</i>	A N W V	lanoleaved sage
<i>Microseris gracilis</i>	A N C V	pink microseris	<i>S. sylvestris</i>	P I W V	woodland sage
<i>Mimulus guttatus</i>	P N W I	yellow monkeyflower	<i>Sedum stenopetalum</i>	P N C I	yellow stonecrop
<i>M. lewisii</i>	P N W I	red monkeyflower	<i>Selaginella densa</i>	P N C I	dense clubmoss
<i>Mirabilis linearis</i>	P N C I	narrowleaved four-o'clock	<i>Senecio canus</i>	P N C I	woolly groundsel
<i>Monarda fistulosa</i>	P N C I	horsemint	<i>S. crassulus</i>	P N W I	thickleaf groundsel
<i>Mustonea divaricatum</i>	P N C I	wild parsley	<i>S. integriramus</i>	P N C I	lambstongue groundsel
<i>Myosotis alpestris</i> (<i>M. sylvatica</i>)	P N C I	forgetmenot	<i>S. plattensis</i>	P N C I	prairie groundsel
<i>Oenothera albicaulis</i>	A N C V	whitestem eveningprimrose	<i>Silene acaulis</i>	P N C I	moss silene
<i>O. biennis</i>	B N C V	common eveningprimrose	<i>S. repens</i>	P N C I	creeping silene
<i>O. caespitosa</i>	P N C I	tufted eveningprimrose	<i>Sisymbrium altissimum</i>	A I C V	tumble mustard
<i>O. nuttallii</i>	P N C I	Nuttall eveningprimrose	<i>Sisyrinchium angustifolium</i>	P N C I	blue-eyed grass
<i>Onobrychis viciifolia</i>	P I C V	common sainfoin	<i>Sium suave</i>	P N W I	hemlock waterparsnip
<i>Orobancha fasciculata</i>	P N C I	clustered broomrape	<i>Smilacina racemosa</i>	P N C I	false Solomon's seal
<i>O. ludoviciana</i>	P N C I	Suksdorf's broomrape	<i>S. stellata</i>	P N C I	lily of the valley
<i>Orthocarpus luteus</i>	A N C V	yellow owllover	<i>Solanum triflorum</i>	A N W V	cutleaf nightshade
<i>O. tenuifolius</i>	A N C V	thinleaved owllover	<i>Solidago missouriensis</i>	P N W I	Missouri goldenrod
<i>Osmorhiza chilensis</i>	P N C I	mountain sweetroot	<i>S. mollis</i>	P N W I	velvety goldenrod
<i>Oxytropis besseyi</i>	P N C I	Bessey pointvetch	<i>S. occidentalis</i>	P N W I	western goldenrod
<i>O. lambertii</i>	P N C I	purple pointloco	<i>S. rigida</i>	P N W I	stiff goldenrod
<i>O. sericea</i>	P N C I	white pointloco	<i>Sonchus arvensis</i>	P I W V	field sowthistle
<i>Paronychia sessiliflora</i>	P N C I	stemless nailwort	<i>Sphaeralcea coccinea</i>	P N C I	scarlet globemallow
<i>Pedicularis cystopteridifolia</i>	P N C I	fernleaf lousewort	<i>Stanleya pinnata</i>	P N C I	desert princesplum
<i>P. groenlandica</i>	P N C I	elephanthead	<i>Stellaria media</i>	A I C V	chickweed
<i>Penstemon albidus</i>	P N C I	white penstemon	<i>Stephanomeria runcinata</i>	P N W I	desert wirelettuce
<i>P. eriantherus</i>	P N C I	fuzzytongue penstemon	<i>Suaeda depressa</i>	A N W V	Pursh seepweed
<i>P. nitidus</i>	P N C I	waxleaf penstemon	<i>Tanacetum vulgare</i>	P I W V	common tansy
<i>P. procerus</i>	P N C I	littleflower penstemon	<i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	P I C V	dandelion (common)
<i>Perideridia gairdneri</i>	P N W I	yampa	<i>Thalictrum occidentale</i>	P N C I	western meadowrue
<i>Petalostemon candidum</i>	P N W D	white prairieclover	<i>Thermopsis montana</i>	P N C I	mountain thermopsis
<i>P. purpureum</i>	P N W D	purple prairieclover	<i>T. rhombifolia</i>	P N C I	prairie thermopsis
<i>Phacelia linearis</i>	A N C V	threadleaf phacelia	<i>Thlaspi arvense</i>	A I C V	fanweed
<i>Phlox hoodii</i>	P N C I	Hood phlox	<i>Townsendia parryi</i>	P N C I	Parry townsendia
<i>P. longifolia</i>	P N C I	longleaf phlox	<i>Tradescantia bracteata</i>	P N C D	common spiderwort
<i>P. multiflora</i>	P N C I	white phlox	<i>Tragopogon dubius</i>	B I C V	salsify
<i>Physalis longifolia</i>	P N W I	groundcherry	<i>Trifolium longipes</i>	P N C D	longstalk clover
<i>Plantago patagonica</i> (<i>P. purshii</i>)	A N C V	woolly plantain	<i>Triglochin maritima</i>	P N C I	seaside arrowgrass
<i>Polygala alba</i>	P N C I	white milkwort	<i>T. palustris</i>	P N C I	marsh arrowgrass
<i>Polygonum bistortoides</i>	P N C I	American bistort	<i>Trillium ovatum</i>	P N C I	Pacific trillium
<i>Polypodium hesperium</i>	P N S I	polypody	<i>Typha latifolia</i>	P N C I	cattail
<i>Potentilla anserina</i>	P N C I	silverweed cinquefoil	<i>Urtica dioica</i>	P I C V	stinging nettle
<i>P. glandulosa</i>	P N C I	gland cinquefoil	<i>U. urens</i>	A I C V	dwarf nettle
<i>P. gracilis</i>	P N C I	northwest cinquefoil	<i>Veratrum californicum</i>	P N C I	California falsehellebore
<i>Proralea argophylla</i>	P N W I	silverleaf scurfpea	<i>V. viride</i>	P N C I	green falsehellebore
<i>P. esculenta</i>	P N C D	breadroot scurfpea	<i>Verbascum thapsus</i>	B I W V	flannel mullein
<i>P. tenuiflora</i>	P N W I	slimflower scurfpea	<i>Verbena bracteata</i>	P N W I	bigbract verbena
<i>Pteridium aquilinum</i>	P N X I	brackenfern	<i>Vicia americana</i>	P N C D	American vetch
<i>Pterospora andromedea</i>	P N C I	woodland pinedrops	<i>Viola adunca</i>	P N C I	hook violet
<i>Pyrola asarifolia</i>	P N C I	pink pyrola	<i>V. canadensis</i>	P N C I	Canada violet
<i>Ranunculus glaberrimus</i>	P N C I	sagebrush buttercup	<i>V. glabella</i>	P N C I	smooth yellow violet
<i>Rutibida columifera</i>	P N W I	prairie coneflower	<i>V. nuttallii</i>	P N C I	Nuttall violet
			<i>V. orbiculata</i>	P N C I	western roundleaved violet
			<i>V. septentrionalis</i>	P N C I	northern blue violet

<i>Wyethia amplexicaulis</i>	P N C I	mulesear wyethia
<i>W. helianthoides</i>	P N C I	white wyethia
<i>Xanthium spinosum</i>	A I W V	spiny cocklebur
<i>X. strumarium</i>	A N W V	cocklebur
<i>Xerophyllum tenax</i>	P N C I	beargrass
<i>Zygadenus elegans</i>	P N C I	mountain death camas
<i>Z. paniculatus</i>	P N C I	foothill death camas
<i>Z. venenosus</i>	P N C I	meadow death camas
(Z. intermedius)		

Cactus (Common Name)

	Longevity	Origin	Season	Gr. Response	
Brittle pricklypear	P	N	C	I	<i>Opuntia fragilis</i>
Pink pincushion cactus	P	N	C	I	<i>Mammillaria vivipara</i>
Pricklypear	P	N	C	I	<i>Opuntia polyacantha</i>
Yellow pincushion cactus	P	N	C	I	<i>Mammillaria missouriensis</i>

Cactus (Scientific Name)

	Longevity	Origin	Season	Gr. Response	
<i>Mammillaria missouriensis</i>	P	N	C	I	yellow pincushion cactus
<i>M. vivipara</i>	P	N	C	I	pink pincushion cactus
<i>Opuntia fragilis</i>	P	N	C	I	brittle pricklypear
<i>O. polyacantha</i>	P	N	C	I	pricklypear

Half-Shrubs, Shrubs, Trees and Vines (Common Name)

	Longevity	Origin	Season	Gr. Response	
Alderleaf buckthorn	P	N	C	I	<i>Rhamnus alatifolia</i>
Alpine larch	P	N	X	X	<i>Larix laricina</i>
American elm	P	N	C	D	<i>Ulmus americana</i>
American kochia	P	N	W	I	<i>Kochia americana</i>
American plum	P	N	C	D	<i>Prunus americana</i>
Big sagebrush	P	N	W	I	<i>Artemisia tridentata</i>
Birchleaf mountainmahogany	P	N	C	D	<i>Cercocarpus montanus</i>
Birdfoot sagebrush	P	N	W	I	<i>Artemisia pedatifida</i>
Bitterbrush	P	N	C	D	<i>Parshia tridentata</i>
Bitter cherry	P	N	C	I	<i>Prunus emarginata</i>
Black cottonwood	P	N	C	D	<i>Populus trichocarpa</i>
Black elderberry	P	N	C	D	<i>Sambucus melanocarpa</i> (S. racemosa)
Black hawthorn	P	N	C	I	<i>Crataegus douglasii</i>
Black sagebrush	P	N	W	I	<i>Artemisia nova</i>
Blue elderberry	P	N	C	D	<i>Sambucus caerulea</i>
Boxelder	P	N	C	D	<i>Acer negundo</i>
Broom snakeweed	P	N	W	I	<i>Xanthocephalum sarothrae</i>
Bud sagebrush	P	N	C	I	<i>Artemisia spinescens</i>
Cascara buckthorn	P	N	C	D	<i>Rhamnus purshiana</i>
Chokecherry	P	N	C	D	<i>Prunus virginiana</i>
Columbia hawthorn	P	N	C	I	<i>Crataegus columbiana</i>
Common juniper	P	N	X	X	<i>Juniperus communis</i>
Common snowberry	P	N	C	I	<i>Symphoricarpos albus</i>
Coralberry	P	N	C	I	<i>Symphoricarpos orbiculatus</i>
Creeping juniper	P	N	X	X	<i>Juniperus horizontalis</i>
Curlleaf mountainmahogany	P	N	C	D	<i>Cercocarpus ledifolius</i>
Devilsclub	P	N	C	I	<i>Oplopanax horridum</i>
Douglas fir	P	N	X	X	<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>
Engelmann spruce	P	N	X	X	<i>Picea engelmannii</i>
Fourwing saltbush	P	N	W	D	<i>Atriplex canescens</i>
Fringed sagewort	P	N	W	I	<i>Artemisia frigida</i>
Golden currant	P	N	C	I	<i>Ribes aureum</i>
Grand fir	P	N	X	X	<i>Abies grandis</i>
Granite gilia	P	N	C	I	<i>Leptodactylon pungens</i>
Gray horsebrush	P	N	W	I	<i>Tetradymia canescens</i>
Greasewood	P	N	C	D	<i>Sarcobatus vermiculatus</i>
Green ash	P	N	C	D	<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>
Green rabbitbrush	P	N	W	I	<i>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus</i>
Grouse whortleberry	P	N	C	I	<i>Vaccinium scoparium</i>
Kinnikinnick	P	N	C	I	<i>Arctostaphylos uva-ursi</i>
Lumber pine	P	N	X	X	<i>Pinus flexilis</i>
Lodgepole pine	P	N	X	X	<i>Pinus contorta</i>
Low sagebrush	P	N	W	I	<i>Artemisia arbuscula</i>
Mountain ash	P	N	C	I	<i>Sorbus scopulina</i>
Mountain boxelder	P	N	C	I	<i>Ailax sinuata</i>
Mountain hemlock	P	N	X	X	<i>Tsuga merriamiana</i>
Mountain spiraea	P	N	C	I	<i>Spiraea splendens</i> (S. densifolia)

Myrtle pachistima	P N C D	<i>Pachistima myrsinites</i>
Myrtle whortleberry	P N C I	<i>Vaccinium myrtillus</i>
Narrowleaf cottonwood	P N C D	<i>Populus angustifolia</i>
Ninebark	P N C I	<i>Physocarpus malvaceus</i>
Nootka rose	P N C I	<i>Rosa nutkana</i>
Nuttall saltbush	P N W D	<i>Atriplex nuttallii</i> (<i>A. gardnerii</i>)
Oceanspray	P N C I	<i>Holodiscus discolor</i>
Oregongrape	P N C D	<i>Berberis repens</i>
Pacific yew	P N C I	<i>Taxus brevifolia</i>
Paper birch	P N C I	<i>Betula papyrifera</i>
Pink cherry	P N C D	<i>Prunus pennsylvanica</i>
Pink spiraea	P N C I	<i>Spiraea douglasii</i>
Plains cottonwood	P N C D	<i>Populus deltoides</i>
Poison ivy	P N C I	<i>Rhus radicans</i>
Ponderosa pine	P N X X	<i>Pinus ponderosa</i>
Prairie rose	P N C I	<i>Rosa arkansana</i>
Princes pine pipsissewa	P N C I	<i>Chimaphila umbellata</i>
Quaking aspen	P N C D	<i>Populus tremuloides</i>
Red mountainheath	P N C I	<i>Phyllodoce empetrifolia</i>
Redosier dogwood	P N C D	<i>Cornus stolonifera</i>
Red raspberry	P N C I	<i>Rubus idaeus</i>
Redstem ceanothus	P N C D	<i>Ceanothus sanguineus</i>
Rock clematis	P N C I	<i>Clematis columbiana</i>
Rocky Mountain juniper	P N X X	<i>Juniperus scopulorum</i>
Rocky Mountain maple	P N C D	<i>Acer glabrum</i>
Rubber rabbitbrush	P N W I	<i>Chrysothamnus nauseosus</i>
Russet buffaloberry	P N C I	<i>Shepherdia canadensis</i>
Russian olive	P I C V	<i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i>
Serviceberry	P N C D	<i>Amelanchier alnifolia</i>
Shadscale	P N C I	<i>Atriplex confertifolia</i>
Shrubby cinquefoil	P N C I	<i>Potentilla fruticosa</i>
Silverberry	P N C I	<i>Elaeagnus commutata</i>
Silver buffaloberry	P N C I	<i>Shepherdia argentea</i>
Silver sagebrush	P N W I	<i>Artemisia cana</i>
Skunkbush sumac	P N C D	<i>Rhus trilobata</i>
Slenderbrush eriogonum	P N C D	<i>Eriogonum microthecum</i>
Smooth sumac	P N C D	<i>Rhus glabra</i>
Snowbrush ceanothus	P N C I	<i>Ceanothus velutinus</i>
Soapweed	P N C I	<i>Yucca glauca</i>
Squaw currant	P N C I	<i>Ribes cereum</i>
Sticky currant	P N C I	<i>Ribes viscosissimum</i>
Subalpine fir	P N X X	<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i>
Syringa	P N C I	<i>Philadelphus lewisii</i>
Thimbleberry	P N C I	<i>Rubus parviflorus</i>
Thinleaf alder	P N C I	<i>Alnus tenuifolia</i> (<i>A. incana</i>)
Thinleaved huckleberry	P N C I	<i>Vaccinium membranaceum</i>
Threetip sagebrush	P N W I	<i>Artemisia tripartita</i>
Twinberry honeysuckle	P N C I	<i>Lonicera involucrata</i>
Twinflower	P N C I	<i>Linnaea borealis</i>
Utah honeysuckle	P N C I	<i>Lonicera utahensis</i>
Utah juniper	P N X X	<i>Juniperus osteosperma</i>
Water birch	P N C I	<i>Betula occidentalis</i>
Western hemlock	P N X X	<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i>
Western larch	P N X X	<i>Larix occidentalis</i>
Western redcedar	P N X X	<i>Thuja plicata</i>
Western snowberry	P N C I	<i>Symphoricarpos occidentalis</i>
Western white pine	P N X X	<i>Pinus monticola</i>

Whitebark pine	P N X X	<i>Pinus albicaulis</i>
Whitebark raspberry	P N C I	<i>Rubus leucodermis</i>
White clematis	P N W I	<i>Clematis ligusticifolia</i>
White mountain avens	P N C I	<i>Dracopis octopetala</i>
White spiraea	P N C I	<i>Spiraea betulifolia</i>
White spruce	P N X X	<i>Picea glauca</i>
Whitestemmed gooseberry	P N C I	<i>Ribes inerme</i>
Whortleleaf snowberry	P N C I	<i>Symphoricarpos oreophilus</i>
Willow	P N C D	<i>Salix</i> spp.
Winterfat	P N W D	<i>Ceratoides lanata</i>
Woods rose	P N C I	<i>Rosa woodsii</i>
Yellow mountainheath	P N C I	<i>Phyllodoce glanduliflora</i>

Half-Shrubs, Shrubs, Trees and Vines (Scientific Name)

		Longevity (Origin) Season Fire Response	
<i>Abies grandis</i>	P N X X		grand fir
<i>A. lasiocarpa</i>	P N X X		subalpine fir
<i>Acer glabrum</i>	P N C D		Rocky Mountain maple
<i>A. negundo</i>	P N C D		boxelder
<i>Alnus sinuata</i>	P N C I		mountain boxelder
<i>A. tenuifolia</i> (<i>A. incana</i>)	P N C I		thinleaf alder
<i>Amelanchier alnifolia</i>	P N C D		serviceberry
<i>Arctostaphylos uva-ursi</i>	P N C I		Kinnikinnick
<i>Artemisia arbuscula</i>	P N W I		low sagebrush
<i>A. cana</i>	P N W I		silver sagebrush
<i>A. frigida</i>	P N W I		fringed sagewort
<i>A. nova</i>	P N W I		black sagebrush
<i>A. pedatifida</i>	P N W I		bird foot sagebrush
<i>A. spinescens</i>	P N C I		bud sagebrush
<i>A. tridentata</i>	P N W I		big sagebrush
<i>A. tripartita</i>	P N W I		threetip sagebrush
<i>Atriplex canescens</i>	P N W D		fourwing saltbush
<i>A. confertifolia</i>	P N C I		shadscale
<i>A. nuttallii</i> (<i>A. gardnerii</i>)	P N W D		Nuttall saltbush
<i>Berberis repens</i>	P N C D		Oregongrape
<i>Betula occidentalis</i>	P N C I		water birch
<i>B. papyrifera</i>	P N C I		paper birch
<i>Ceanothus sanguineus</i>	P N C D		redstem ceanothus
<i>C. velutinus</i>	P N C I		snowbrush ceanothus
<i>Ceratoides lanata</i>	P N W D		winterfat
<i>Cercocarpus ledifolius</i>	P N C D		curlleaf mountainmahogany
<i>C. montanus</i>	P N C D		birchleaf mountainmahogany
<i>Chimaphila umbellata</i>	P N C I		princes pine pipsissewa
<i>Chrysothamnus nauseosus</i>	P N W I		rubber rabbitbrush
<i>C. viscidiflorus</i>	P N W I		green rabbitbrush

<i>Clematis columbiana</i>	P N C I	rock clematis	<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	P N X X	Douglas fir
<i>C. ligusticifolia</i>	P N W I	white clematis	<i>Purshia tridentata</i>	P N C D	bitterbrush
<i>Cornus stolonifera</i>	P N C D	Redosier dogwood	<i>Rhamnus alatifolia</i>	P N C I	alderleaf huckthorn
<i>Crataegus columbiana</i>	P N C I	Columbia hawthorn	<i>R. purshiana</i>	P N C D	cascade huckthorn
<i>C. douglasii</i>	P N C I	black hawthorn	<i>Rhus glabra</i>	P N C D	smooth sumac
<i>Dracopis octopetala</i>	P N C I	white mountain avens	<i>R. radicans</i>	P N C I	poison ivy
<i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i>	P I C V	Russian olive	<i>R. trilobata</i>	P N C D	skunkbush sumac
<i>E. commutata</i>	P N C I	silverberry	<i>Ribes aurum</i>	P N C I	golden currant
<i>Eriogonum microthecum</i>	P N C D	slenderbrush eriogonum	<i>R. cereum</i>	P N C I	squaw currant
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	P N C D	green ash	<i>R. inerme</i>	P N C I	whitestemmed gooseberry
<i>Holodiscus discolor</i>	P N C I	oceanspray	<i>R. viscosissimum</i>	P N C I	sticky currant
<i>Juniperus communis</i>	P N X X	common juniper	<i>Rosa arkanisana</i>	P N C I	prairie rose
<i>J. horizontalis</i>	P N X X	creeping juniper	<i>R. nutkana</i>	P N C I	nootka rose
<i>J. osteosperma</i>	P N X X	Utah juniper	<i>R. woodsii</i>	P N C I	Woods rose
<i>J. scopulorum</i>	P N X X	Rocky Mountain juniper	<i>Rubus idaeus</i>	P N C I	red raspberry
<i>Kochia americana</i>	P N W I	American Kochia	<i>R. leucodermis</i>	P N C I	whitebark raspberry
<i>Larix laricina</i>	P N X X	alpine larch	<i>R. parviflorus</i>	P N C I	thimbleberry
<i>L. occidentalis</i>	P N X X	western larch	<i>Salix spp.</i>	P N C D	willow
<i>Leptodactylon pungens</i>	P N C I	granite gilia	<i>Sambucus coerula</i>	P N C D	blue elderberry
<i>Linnaea borealis</i>	P N C I	twinflower	<i>S. melanocarpa</i>	P N C D	black elderberry
<i>Lonicera involucrata</i>	P N C I	twinberry honeysuckle	<i>(S. racemosa)</i>		
<i>L. utahensis</i>	P N C I	Utah honeysuckle	<i>Sarcobatus vermiculatus</i>	P N C D	greasewood
<i>Oplopnax horridum</i>	P N C I	devilsclub	<i>Shepherdia argentea</i>	P N C I	silver buffaloberry
<i>Pachistima myrsinites</i>	P N C D	myrtle pachistima	<i>S. canadensis</i>	P N C I	russet buffaloberry
<i>Philadelphus lewisii</i>	P N C I	syringa	<i>Sorbus scopulina</i>	P N C I	mountain ash
<i>Phyllodoce empetrifolia</i>	P N C I	red mountainheath	<i>Spiraea betulifolia</i>	P N C I	white spiraea
<i>P. glanduliflora</i>	P N C I	yellow mountainheath	<i>S. douglasii</i>	P N C I	pink spiraea
<i>Physocarpus malvaceus</i>	P N C I	ninebark	<i>S. splendens</i>	P N C I	mountain spiraea
<i>Picea glauca</i>	P N X X	white spruce	<i>(S. densifolia)</i>		
<i>P. engelmannii</i>	P N X X	Engelmann spruce	<i>Symphoricarpos albus</i>	P N C I	common snowberry
<i>Pinus albicaulis</i>	P N X X	whitebark pine	<i>S. occidentalis</i>	P N C I	western snowberry
<i>P. contorta</i>	P N X X	lodgepole pine	<i>S. orbiculatus</i>	P N C I	coralberry
<i>P. flexilis</i>	P N X X	limber pine	<i>S. oreophilus</i>	P N C I	whortleleaf snowberry
<i>P. monticola</i>	P N X X	western white pine	<i>Taxus brevifolia</i>	P N C I	Pacific yew
<i>P. ponderosa</i>	P N X I	ponderosa pine	<i>Tetradymia canescens</i>	P N W I	gray horsebrush
<i>Populus angustifolia</i>	P N C D	narrowleaf cottonwood	<i>Thuja plicata</i>	P N X X	western redcedar
<i>P. deltoides</i>	P N C D	plains cottonwood	<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i>	P N X X	western hemlock
<i>P. tremuloides</i>	P N C D	quaking aspen	<i>T. mertensiana</i>	P N X X	mountain hemlock
<i>P. trichocarpa</i>	P N C D	black cottonwood	<i>Ulmus americana</i>	P N C D	American elm
<i>Potentilla fruticosa</i>	P N C I	shrubby cinquefoil	<i>Vaccinium membranaceum</i>	P N C I	thinleaved huckleberry
<i>Prunus americana</i>	P N C D	American plum	<i>V. myrtillus</i>	P N C I	myrtle whortleberry
<i>P. emarginata</i>	P N C I	bitter cherry	<i>V. scoparium</i>	P N C I	grouse whortleberry
<i>P. pensylvanica</i>	P N C D	pin cherry	<i>Xanthocephalum sarothrae</i>	P N W I	broom snakeweed
<i>P. virginiana</i>	P N C D	chokecherry	<i>Yucca glauca</i>	P N C I	soapweed